Research Article Composition and Nitrogen Distribution of Ouled-Djellal and Rumbi Algerian Ewe's Milk

¹B. Yabrir, ¹A. Hakem (ex Akam), ¹A. Laoun, ¹M. Labiad, ²H. Attia and ³A. Mati ¹Laboratory of Exploration and Valorization of Steppic Ecosystems, University of Djelfa, Algeria ²Food Analysis Laboratory, National Engineering School of Sfax, Tunisia ³Laboratory of Analytical Biochemistry and Biotechnology, University M. Mammeri of Tizi- Ouzou, Algeria

Abstract: The chemical composition and nitrogen distribution of milk from two ewe's breed (Ouled-Djellal and Rumbi) (n = 20 each) reared in Algerian area steppe, were studied. The ewes were balanced for age and weight. All were in middle period of lactation. Individual milk samples were taken from each ewe third time from lactating period during spring season. Rumbi ewe's milk gave the highest values ($p \le 0.01$) for lactose (4.89±0.61%), solid non-fat (11.19±0.87%) and density (1037.57±3.78) than Ouled-Djellal ewes (4.38±0.45%, 10.24±0.77%) 1033.82 \pm 2.59, respectively) and lowest values (p \leq 0.01) for freezing point (-0.57 \pm 0.05°C vs -0.53 \pm 0.02°C). No significant difference was observed between the two breeds on fat, protein, total solids. The mean percentages were 6.26±1.38%, 5.54±0.76 and 16.51±1.44%, respectively for Ouled-Djellal ewes, while those of Rumbi's were $5.66\pm3.52\%$, $5.91\pm1.10\%$ and $16.85\pm3.32\%$, respectively. No statistical differences related to the breed were found in the milk for all nitrogen fractions. Rumbi ewe's milk contains about 1.01% nitrogen however Ouled-Djellal's hold about 0.96%. Total nitrogen content is distributed between non-protein nitrogen (0.09% in all breeds) and protein nitrogen (0.93 vs 0.87% for Rumbi and Ouled -Djellal ewes respectively). The protein nitrogen includes casein nitrogen (0.76 vs 0.71) and whey protein nitrogen (0.20 vs 0.19%) from Rumbi and Ouled-Djellal ewes, respectively. Algerian sheep breeds were not actually selected for their milk production; selection program should be implemented to improve milk production and increase fat and protein contents. Thus further studies should carry out on milk ability and milk yield of these breeds.

Keywords: Algeria, milk composition, nitrogen distribution, Ouled-Djellal ewe, Rumbi ewe

INTRODUCTION

The population of sheep in Algeria is estimated at over 21 million heads (ONS, 2009). Sheep are mainly composed of local breeds (Benyoucef *et al.*, 2000) with low production but well adapted to the different natural regions (Benyoucef *et al.*, 1995) and unevenly distributed among primary (Ouled-Djellal, Rumbi and Hamra) and secondary breeds which are less abundant (Chellig, 1992). Ouled-Djellal (OD) is the most common breed and represents approximately 63% of the total number, then comes Hamra with a percentage of 21%; however the Rumbi (RB) represents 12% of the total population (Boucif *et al.*, 2007).

Algerian breeds are usually studied for their reproductive performance (Dekhili, 2004; Dekhili and Aggoun, 2005) never for their milk composition. Average milk production per day, all races confounded, is estimated at 400 g for 4 to 5 months (Khelifi, 1999). This production is valued at 70-80 kg in 6 months of lactation for OD ewes; it is 55-65 kg in 5-6 months for Rumbi's (Chellig, 1992). This milk is generally used for breast lambs in the first place, then it is consumed by the farmers as well as or transformed traditionally often on "smen" (traditional butter), on "l'ben" (fermented milk) or in "djeben" (fresh cheese).

The composition of milk determines firstly its processing ability (Pearse and Mackinlay, 1989; Pellegrini *et al.*, 1994; Bencini, 2002) and secondly the quality of products that result (Pirisi *et al.*, 2001). This composition is not constant and many factors are reported that affect the quality of ewe's milk (Bencini and Pulina, 1997; Bencini, 2001). Some of these factors are related to management system (Bocquier and Caja, 1999; Oravcova *et al.*, 2007; Rassu *et al.*, 2007), others are depending on sheep: stage of lactation (Pavic *et al.*, 2002; Kuchtik *et al.*, 2008; Hejtmankova *et al.*, 2012) and genetic factors (Javor *et al.*, 2000). The effects of breed on milk composition have been studied by several researchers (Boylan *et al.*, 1988, Haenlein, 2001; Mierlita *et al.*, 2011; Pelmus *et al.*, 2012).

Research on chemical composition of ewe's breeds was well documented overall the world such as Suffolk (Torres-Hernandez and Hohenboken, 1979), Dorest

Corresponding Author: B. Yabrir, Laboratory of Exploration and Valorization of Steppic Ecosystems, University of Djelfa, Algeria, Tel.: 00 213 777 44 13 84

This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License (URL: http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).

(Wohlt *et al.*, 1981), Manchega (Molina, 1987), Rambouillet (Ochoa-Cordero *et al.*, 2002), Awassi (Sahan *et al.*, 2005), Sicilo-sarde and Comisane (Rouissi *et al.*, 2006), Rahmani and Chios (Abd Allah *et al.*, 2011) but there is no information, in our knowledge on the chemicals of ewe's milk produced in Algeria, except this one reported by Yabrir *et al.* (2012), neither for sheep breeds. Thus, this investigation constitute the first and preliminary study carried out concerning the milk composition and nitrogen distribution of Algerian ewe breeds (Rumbi and Ouled-Djellal) reared in central steppe area.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Animals and sampling: This study was carried out using two flocks, one of Rumbi breed, the other of Ouled-Djellal's located in Algerian area steppe. Ewe's were in natural pasture all the year and feed with hay, pasture ensilage and barley when necessary. Twenty ewes were chosen for each breed. The ewes were balanced for age (medium age was 4.8 and 5.6, respectively for Rumbi and Ouled-Djellal), weight (52 kg for Rumbi and 42.5 kg for Ouled-Djellal) and all were in middle period of lactation.

Individual milk samples were taken from each ewe third time from lactating period during spring season. Milk samples were collected at the afternoon hand milking. During each milking about 120 mL of milk was sampled without any preservative and divided in two aliquots. The first was analyzed within 24 h with refrigeration overnight in terms of basic composition of milk. The second aliquot was frozen at -20°C until analysis for nitrogen fractions.

LABORATORY ANALYSIS

Basic composition: Fat, lactose, solids-non-fat were determined by infrared analysis using a milkoscan apparatus (FT 120, Foss Electric, Hilleroed, Denmark). Total solids content was determined according to the method of AOAC (1998) by drying at $103\pm2^{\circ}$ C. The pH values were measured using a pH-meter (Hanna H211, Hanna Instrument, Portugal) previously calibrated. Density was performed by using Quevenne lactometer, according the method described by AOAC (1998) and Milk freezing point by using a cryoscope (model 403, advanced Instruments, Norwood, NA).

Nitrogen distribution: Nitrogen was analyzed by Kjeldahl method according to AOAC (1998). Digestion was carried out using a Buchi K-435 Digestion Unit (Flawil 1, Switzerland) and distillation in Gerhardt Vapodest 10 Distillation Unit (Königswinter, GB). Total Nitrogen (TN) was determined on whole milk, the Non-Protein Nitrogen (NPN) was determined on the supernatants produced by action of trichloroacetic 15% and the Non-Casein Nitrogen (NCN) on the serum obtained by acidifying the milk at 4.6-4.7pH with acetic

| Table 1: Comparison | of j | physico-cher | nical | characteristics | of | ewe's |
|---------------------|-------|---------------|-------|-----------------|----|-------|
| milk between | ı Oul | ed-Djellal ar | 1d Ru | mbi breeds | | |

| | Breed (mean±SD) | | |
|---------------------|-----------------|--------------------|-------|
| Parameter | Rumbi | Ouled djellal | P^* |
| Protein (%) | 5.91±1.10000 | 5.54±0.7600 | ns |
| Fat (%) | 5.66±3.52000 | 6.26±1.38000 | ns |
| Lactose (%) | 4.89±0.61000 | 4.38±0.45000 | ** |
| Total solids (%) | 16.85±3.3200 | 16.51±1.4400 | ns |
| Solids-non-fat (%) | 11.19±0.8700 | 10.24±0.7700 | ** |
| Freezing point (°C) | -0.57±0.0500 | -0.53 ± 0.0200 | ** |
| Density | 1037.57±3.78 | 1033.82±2.59 | ** |
| pH | 6.78±0.27000 | 6.76±0.17000 | ns |

P*: Analysis of variance (ns: not significant, *: p<0.05 **: p<0.01)

acid 10% (Shahani and Sommer, 1951). The other nitrogen fractions were calculated as follows: Protein Nitrogen (NP) = TN-NPN, Casein Nitrogen (CN) = TN-NCN, Whey Protein Nitrogen (WPN) = NCN-NPN and Casein index as (CN/TN)*100. A nitrogen conversion factor of 6.38 was used for calculation of protein contents of milk samples and various fractions (Cerbulis and Farell, 1975).

Statistical analysis: Statistical analysis was carried out using Statistica program. The significant differences between means were calculated by one-way Analysis of Variance (ANOVA) using Turkey range test. Breed was the factor studied and probability level was either 95 or 99%.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Basic composition: All compositional parameters of milk samples, except fat and freezing point were higher in milk from Rumbi than from Ouled-Djellal breeds (Table 1). Results show that lactose, solid non-fat, freezing point and density were significantly influenced by breed type. The effect of breed on chemical composition of ewe's milk was well established by many workers (Bencini and Pulina, 1997; Mierlita *et al.*, 2011; Pelmus *et al.*, 2012). No significant difference was observed between the two breeds on protein, fat, total solids and pH.

Regarding the physicochemical characteristics of milk, pH was similar between the two breeds. This report is in disagreement with Martini and Caroli (2003) who reported that the pH of milk was significantly influenced by the breed of sheep. The pH value in this study was slightly higher than that reported by Hilali (2001) for Awassi sheep and by Bianchi et al. (2004) for Sardinian healthy ewe but is in agreement with Rouissi et al. (2006) for Comissane sheep. Bianchi et al. (2004) observed that pH value of sheep milk increases with somatic cell counts. Concerning the density and freezing point, Rumbi milk has higher density and lower freezing point than Ouled-Djellal milk ($p \le 0.01$). Simos et al. (1996) and Rouissi et al. (2006) reported similar density in Epirius Mountain and Comisane ewes respectively than that in our study for Rumbi ewe, while Hilali (2001) and Rouissi et al. (2006) found the same value of density in Awassi and

| | Breed (mean±SD) | | | | |
|-----------|-----------------|-----------------|----------------|-----------------|-----------|
| Parameter | Rumbi | Ouled djellal | \mathbf{P}^* | Avenage | Cowmilk** |
| TN*** | 1.01±0.18 | 0.96±0.15 | ns | 0.99±0.17 | 0.580 |
| NPN | 0.09 ± 0.03 | 0.09 ± 0.04 | ns | 0.09 ± 0.04 | 0.028 |
| PN | 0.93±0.17 | 0.87±0.12 | ns | 0.90±0.15 | 0.550 |
| CN | 0.76±0.16 | 0.71±0.12 | ns | 0.74±0.14 | 0.450 |
| WPN | 0.20±0.06 | 0.19±0.05 | ns | 0.19±0.06 | 0.100 |

Table 2: Nitrogen distribution (%) in milks of Rumbi and Ouled-Djellal ewe's

*: Analysis of variance (ns: not significant); **: Cerbulis and Farrell (1975); ***: TN: Total Nitrogen, NPN: Non-Protein Nitrogen, PN: Protein Nitrogen, CN: Casein Nitrogen, WPN: Whey Protein Nitrogen

Sicilo-sarde sheep respectively than that for Ouled-Djellal ewes. Our finding for the freezing point of Rumbi ewe is in agreement with values reported by Gonzalo *et al.* (2005) for several breeds in Spain and for Ouled-Djellal is almost similar than that found by Martini *et al.* (2008) for Massese sheep in Italy.

Protein and fat were mainly studied because basic and incentive payments for milk (Pirisi et al., 2007) or considered as useful material milk for cheese technology (Scintu and Piredda, 2007). As shown in Table 1, there is no significant difference between the two breeds considering the fat and protein contents. Milk fat ranges from 5.66% for Rumbi to 6.26% for Ouled-Djellal ewes which is in agreement with Torres-Hernandez and Hohenboken (1979), Maria and Gabina (1993) and Ochoa-cordero et al. (2002), respectively for Suffolk, Latxa and Rambouillet breeds. But lower than that reported by Bencini and Purvis (1990), Simos et al. (1996) and Rouissi et al. (2006) for Merino, Epirus Mountain and Comisane and Sicilo-Sarde ewes. Also, Nudda et al. (2002) reported higher fat content for Sarda, Awassi and Merino sheep in Australia. However, the fat contents were higher than the values reported by Molina (1987) and Casoli et al. (1989) for Churra and Massese breeds. The mean percentage protein content balanced between 5.91 for Rumbi and 5.54% for Ouled-Djellal breeds and was higher than the values reported by Molina (1987), Casoli et al. (1989) and Bencini and Purvis (1990) for Churra, Massese and Merino ewes breed, respectively but lower than that established by Boylan et al. (1988), Simos et al. (1996) and Rouissi et al. (2006) for Dorest, Epirus Mountain and Sicilo-Sarde and Comisane ewes. On the other hand Riek and Gerker (2006) showed that an increase in protein concentration should result in an increase in pH, because proteins are more anionic than cationic.

Rumbi ewe's milk gave the highest values ($p \le 0.01$) for lactose. In this study, the mean milk lactose content (%) was 4.89 for Rumbi breed. This level was almost the same with those found by Boylan *et al.* (1988) but was higher than reported by Rouissi *et al.* (2006) and Karoui *et al.* (2011). However milk from Ouled-Djellal gave 4.38% lactose which was also higher than finding by Rouissi *et al.* (2006) and Karoui *et al.* (2011), but lower than reported by many researchers (Molina, 1987; Casoli *et al.*, 1989; Bencini and Purvis, 1990) all for several sheep breeds.

The total solids value, ranging from 16.51% (Ouled-Djellal) to 16.85% (Rumbi) did not vary significantly between the two breeds. Similar value was observed in Rambouillet breed milk (Ochoa-Cordero et al., 2002). However, this result was higher than that found in Churra and Massese milks obtained by Molina (1987) and Casoli et al. (1989), respectively, but lower than that reported by many workers (Wohlt *et al.*, 1981; Bencini and Purvis, 1990; Rouissi et al., 2006) in other breeds. The solid non-fat content of ewe milk of Rumbi breed was significantly $(p \le 0.01)$ higher than that of Ouled-Djellal (11.19 v.s 10.24%). The SNF recorded in this study for the Rumbi ewe was supported by Rouissi et al. (2006) and Martini et al. (2008) but lower than that reported by Kremer et al. (1996) and Simos et al. (1996) with different sheep breeds. The SNF from Ouled-Djellal milk seems to be the lowest value as regarding the aforementioned study.

Nitrogen distribution: Changes in the nitrogen distribution of ewe's milk protein fractions between Rumbi and Ouled-Djellal breeds are shown in Table 2. No statistical differences related to the breed were found in the milk for all nitrogen fractions. When compared to cow milk, all nitrogen fractions of cow's milk were lower than that reported in this study (Table 2).

Rumbi ewe's milk contains about 1.01% N however Ouled-Djellal's hold about 0.96% N, the average value was 0.99%. this finding coincides with the results obtained by Rouissi *et al.* (2006) and Kondyli *et al.* (2012) in Tunisian and Greeck breeds respectively but very lower than that data reported by Rassu *et al.* (2007), Bornaz *et al.* (2009) and Potocnik *et al.* (2011) for other breeds.

The present study shows that the NT content is distributed between non-protein nitrogen (NPN, 0.09% in all breeds) and Protein Nitrogen (PN, 0.93 vs 0.87% for Rumbi and Ouled-Djellal ewes respectively, with average equal to 0.90%). The NPN contents found in this study were one time higher than those reported by Pirisi *et al.* (2001) and Rouissi *et al.* (2006) for Sarda and Sicila-Sarde ewes breeds, another time lower than that reported for the same breeds by Bornaz *et al.* (2009) and Bovera *et al.* (2003). These values of NPN account for 8.67 and 9.15% of the TN in milk of Rumbi and Ouled-Djellal respectively and which are higher tha

Adv. J. Food Sci. Technol., 5(9): 1220-1226, 2013

| Table 3: Nitrogen distribution | (%N of TN |) in milks of Rumbi and Ouled-Dj | ellal ewe's |
|--------------------------------|-----------|----------------------------------|-------------|
| | | | |

| | Breed (Mean±SD) | | | | |
|-----------|-----------------|---------------|-------|------------|-----------|
| Parameter | Rumbi | Ouled djellal | P^* | Avenage | Cowmilk** |
| NPN/TN*** | 8.67±2.84 | 9.15±3.53 | ns | 8.91±3.170 | 4.90 |
| PN/TN | 91.33±2.84 | 90.85±3.53 | ns | 91.09±3.17 | 95.1 |
| CN/TN | 74.66±5.63 | 74.42±4.27 | ns | 74.54±5.10 | 77.9 |
| WPN/TN | 19.14±3.39 | 19.60±3.8 | ns | 19.37±3.56 | 22.1 |

*: Analysis of variance (ns: not significant); **: Cerbulis and Farrell (1975); ***: TN: total nitrogen, NPN: Non-Protein Nitrogen, PN: Protein Nitrogen, CN: Casein Nitrogen, WPN: Whey Protein Nitrogen

| Table 4: Nitrogen compounds (%) | Table 4: | Nitrogen | compounds (| (%) | |
|---------------------------------|----------|----------|-------------|-----|--|
|---------------------------------|----------|----------|-------------|-----|--|

| | Breed (Mean±SD) | | | | |
|---------------|-----------------|---------------|----------------|-----------|--------------|
| Parameter | Rumbi | Ouled djellal | \mathbf{P}^* | Average | Cowmilk** |
| TN*6.38 | 6.47±1.17 | 6.12±0.96 | ns | 6.30±1.07 | 3.72±0.63 |
| Proteins | 5.91±1.10 | 5.54±0.76 | ns | 5.73±0.95 | 3.54±0.60 |
| Caseins | 4.84±0.99 | 4.55±0.74 | ns | 4.70±0.87 | 2.89±0.51 |
| Whey Proteins | 1.26 ± 0.40 | 1.20±0.32 | ns | 1.23±0.14 | 0.63±0.13*** |

*: Analysis of variance (ns: not significant); **: Cerbulis and Farrell (1975); ***: True whey proteins

| Table 5: A | verage nit | rogen distril | oution and | nitrogen co | mpounds of | ewe's milk f | rom other b | oreeds | |
|------------|------------|---------------|------------|-------------|------------|--------------|-------------|---------|---|
| Breed | TN | NPN | PN | CN | WPN | TN*6 38 | Proteins | Caseins | W |

| Breed | TN | NPN | PN | CN | WPN | TN*6.38 | Proteins | Caseins | Whey proteins | Reference |
|--------------|------|-------|----|--------|-------|---------|----------|---------|---------------|--------------------------|
| Sarda | - | 0.23 | - | 77.6* | - | 5.66 | - | 4.4 | 1.03 | Bianchi et al. (2004) |
| Sarda | 4.5 | 0.31 | - | - | - | - | 4.18 | 3.38 | 0.80 | Rassu et al. (2007) |
| ND | - | 0.044 | 95 | 82.3** | - | 5.63 | 5.35 | 4.41 | 0.946 | Pellegrini et al. (1994) |
| Sicili-sarde | 1.06 | 0.043 | - | - | - | 6.55 | - | 5.16 | - | Rouissi et al. (2006) |
| Comisane | 1.03 | 0.042 | - | - | - | 6.40 | - | 4.97 | - | Rouissiet al. (2006) |
| Sarda | - | 0.04 | - | 76.7** | - | - | 5.28 | 4.26 | 1.02 | Pirisi et al. (2001) |
| Sarda | - | 0.05 | - | 73.2** | - | - | 5.66 | 4.36 | 1.30 | Pirsi et al. (2001) |
| ND | 5.55 | 0.39 | - | 4.3 | 1.1 | - | - | 7.75 | 2 | Potocnik et al. (2011) |
| ND | - | 0.8 | - | 76 - | 17 - | - | 6.2 - | 4.2 | - | Park et al. (2007) |
| | | | | 83* | 22*** | | 4.6 | | | |
| Sarda | - | 0.15 | - | - | - | 4.99 | - | 3.93 | 0.89 | Bovera et al. (2003) |
| Sarda | - | 0.16 | - | - | - | 5.02 | - | 3.98 | 0.90 | Bovera et al. (2003) |
| Sicila-sarde | 5.77 | 0.29 | - | 84* | - | - | 5.48 | 4.85 | - | Bornaz et al. (2009) |
| Sicila-sarde | 5.91 | 0.24 | - | 83.7* | - | - | 5.67 | 4.95 | - | Bornaz et al. (2009) |
| Boutsiko | 1.02 | - | - | - | - | - | 6.46 | 5.00 | - | Kondyli et al. (2012) |
| Karamaniko | 0.97 | - | - | - | - | - | 6.17 | 4.74 | - | Kondyli et al. (2012) |
| ND | | 0.269 | - | - | - | 5.78 | 5.52 | 4.55 | 0.97 | Assenat (1985) |

ND: Not Defined; *: CN/TN; **: CN/Proteins; ***: WPN/TN

that of cow milk (Table 3). According to DePeters and Ferguson (1992), the NPN content of milk is less variable among breeds but the NPN as a percentage of TN is quit variable. On the other hand, NPN compounds does not have nutritional value (except free amono acids, B vitamins and nucleotids) (Mehaia *et al.*, 1995) or commercial value that would justify taking it into consideration in a payment scheme (Grappin, 1992).

The main value of protein nitrogen was higher than cow milk (Table 2). It varies from 0.87% (milk of Ouled-Djellal) to 0.93% (Rumbi's milk). The protein nitrogen includes casein nitrogen (CN, 0.76 vs 0.71 between Rumbi and Ouled-Djellal breeds) and whey protein nitrogen (WPN, 0.20 vs 0.19% from Rumbi and Ouled-Djellal ewes, respectively). Generally, the casein nitrogen is expressed as caseins contents. Thus, the mean value of caseins in ewe milk (4.70%) was higher than cow milk (2.89%) (Table 4); moreover, compared to varied values of other sheep breeds (Table 5), it is found that the value of caseins of milk from the Algerian breeds is either similar or higher than those reported elsewhere. Park et al. (2007) reported that casein content of milk has a significant influence on rheological properties of the rennet gel. It is negatively correlated with the quantity of serum retained during the cheese making (Storry et al., 1983). The average casein number (CN/TN*100) for Rumbi and Ouled-Djellal ewe milks were 74.66 and 74.42, respectively which did not differ and were lower than that reported for cow milk (Table 3). This value is also lower than that reported in literature (Table 5) from various breeds of sheep. When compared to published data, attention will be taken that some authors use the ratio of casein in true protein (Pirisi et al., 2001) instead of casein in total protein (Bianchi et al., 2004; Park et al., 2007; Bornaz et al., 2009). The casein number may vary among species and according to animal and lactation stage (Raynal-Ljutovac et al., 2008). On the other hand, it characterizes the suitability of milk for cheese production (Mehaia et al., 1995).

Many of the whey proteins have interesting physicchemical (functional), nutritional and physiological properties (Fox, 2001). Milk samples from Algerian ewe's breed contained on average 1.23% whey proteins which did not differ between the two breeds (1.26 and 1.20, respectively from Rumbi and Ouled-Djellal milk) and where higher than that reported by Cerbulis and Farell (1975) for cow milks. Our finding was higher than that of Sarda ewe's milk (Bovera *et al.*, 2003; Bianchi *et al.*, 2004; Rassu *et al.*, 2007) and lower than that of Sarda ewe's milk when feed on pasture ryegrass (Pirisi *et al.*, 2001). Park *et al.* (2007) reported that sheep milk whey proteins account for 17-22% of total protein which is in agreement with the result of this study.

CONCLUSION

This study showed that the breed had a significant effect on lactose, solid non-fat, freezing point and density. However, no significant difference was observed between the two breeds on protein, fat, total solids and pH. The milk from Rumbi ewe seems to be richer than Ouled-Djellal's. Further Studies should carry out on milk ability and milk yield of these breeds. Algerian sheep breeds were not actually selected for their milk production; their importance for the dairy sheep industry cannot be assessed at the present time. Selection program should be implemented to improve milk production and increase fat and protein contents.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

This study was supported by Algerian Ministry of higher education and scientific research (research project of CNEPRU, code: F 02820100030).

REFERENCES

- Abd Allah, M., S.F. Abbas and F.M. Allam, 2011. Factors affecting the milk yield and composition of Rahmani and Chios sheep. Int. J. Livest. Prod., 2(3): 24-30.
- AOAC, 1998. Official Methods of Analysis. 16th Edn., Association of Official Analytical Chemist. Arlington, VA.
- Assenat, L., 1985. Composition et propriétés. In: Luquet, F.M. (Eds.), Lait et Produits Laitiers: Vache-Brebis-Chèvre. Technique et Documentation Lavoisier, Paris, France, pp: 685.
- Bencini, R., 2001. Factors affecting the quality of ewe's milk. Proceeding of the 7th Great Lakes Dairy Sheep Symposium. Eau Claire, Wisconsin, USA, pp: 52-83.
- Bencini, R., 2002. Factors affecting the clotting properties of sheep milk. J. Sci. Food Agric., 82: 705-719.
- Bencini, R. and G. Pulina, 1997. The quality of sheep milk: A review. Aust. J. Exp. Agric., 37(4): 485-504.

- Bencini, R. and I. Purvis, 1990. The yield and composition of milk from Merino sheep. Wool Technol. Sheep Breed, 18: 71-73.
- Benyoucef, M.T., A. Zahaf, S. Boutebila, T. Benaissa, R. Kaidi, D. Khellaf and A. Benzidour, 1995. Organizational and technical aspects of a program genetic studies of the Hamra sheep breed in the western region (Algeria). CIHEAM-Mediterr. Opt. Series A, 11: 215-224.
- Benyoucef, M.T., T. Madani and K. Abbas, 2000. Sheep production systems and selection objectives under semi-arid conditions in Algeria. CIHEAM-Mediterr. Opt. Series A, 43: 101-109.
- Bianchi, L., A. Bolla, E. Budelli, A. Caroli, C. Casoli, M. Pauselli and E. Duranti, 2004. Effect of udder health status and lactation phase on the characteristics of Sardinian ewe mil. J. Dairy Sci., 87: 2401-2408.
- Bocquier, F. and G. Caja, 1999. Effects of nutrition on ewes' milk quality. Proceeding 5th Great Lakes Dairy Sheep Symposium. Brattleboro, Vermont, USA, pp: 1-15.
- Bornaz, S., A. Sahli, A. Attalah and H. Attia, 2009. Physicochemical characteristics and renneting properties of camels' milk: A comparison with goats', ewes' and cows' milks. Int. J. Dairy Technol., 62(4): 505-513.
- Boucif, A., N. Azzi, D. Tainturier and A. Niar, 2007. Seasonal variation of reproductive parameters in two local breeds of Algerian rams. Dating Ruminants, 14: 380.
- Bovera, F., M.I. Cutrignelli, R. Schettini and T. Di Lella, 2003. Effects of non-structural carbohydrate levels of diet on milk yield of primiparous Sarda ewes. Italian J. Anim. Sci., 2(Suppl. 1): 521-523.
- Boylan, W.J., J.W. Fecht and H. Sakul, 1988. Diary sheep performance and potential. Proceeding of 16th Annual "Sheep and Lamb Feeders Day". University of Minnesota, West Central Experiment Station, Morris, MN, USA, pp: 54-63.
- Casoli, C., E. Duranti, L. Morbidini, F. Panella and V. Vizioli, 1989. Quantitative and compositional variations of Massese sheep milk by parity and stage of lactation. Small Rumin. Res., 2: 47-62.
- Cerbulis, J. and H.M. Farell, 1975. Composition of milks of dairy cattle. I. Protein, lactose and fat contents and distribution of protein fraction. J. Dairy Sci., 58(6): 817-827.
- Chellig, R., 1992. Algerian Sheep Breeds. Office of University Publication, Algiers, Algeria. (In French).
- Dekhili, M., 2004. Productivity of ouled-djellalewes. Dating Rumin., 11: 234.
- Dekhili, M. and A. Aggoun, 2005. Ewe productivity in two contrasting locations. Dating Rumin., 12: 163.
- DePeters, E.J. and J.D. Ferguson, 1992. Nonprotein nitrogen and protein distribution in the milk of cows. J. Dairy Sci., 75: 3192-3209.

- Fox, P.F., 2001. Milk proteins as food ingredients. Int. J. Dairy Technol., 54(2): 41-55.
- Gonzalo, C., M.A. Blanco, E. Beneitez, M.T. Juarez, A. Martinez, B. Linage and A. Ariznabarreta, 2005. Bulk tank milk quality of dairy sheep in the Castilla-Leon region (Spain). Dating Rumin., 12: 401.
- Grappin, R., 1992. Bases and the protein experiences of expressing content of milk-France. J. Dairy Sci., 75: 3221-3227.
- Haenlein, G.F.W., 2001. The nutritional value of sheep milk. Int. J. Anim. Sci. (India), 16: 253-268.
- Hejtmankova, A., V. Pivec and H. Dragounova, 2012. Differences in the composition of total and whey proteins in goat and ewe milk and their changes throughout the lactation period. Czech J. Anim. Sci., 57(7): 323-331.
- Hilali, M., 2001. Study of the physico-chemical properties and coagulation parameters of Awassi sheep milk collected in Maragha region, Syria, according to pasture. Thesis, University of Aleppo, Aleppo, Syria.
- Javor, A., S. Kukovics, A. Nabradi, Z.R. Varszegi, M. Arnyasi and G. Molnar, 2000. The fat and protein content of sheep milk under different conditions. CIHEAM-Mediterr. Opt. Series A, 43: 69-73.
- Karoui, R., M. Hammamib, H. Rouissi and C. Blecker, 2011. Mid infrared and fluorescence spectroscopies coupled with factorial discriminant analysis technique to identify sheep milk from different feeding systems. Food Chem., 127: 743-748.
- Khelifi, Y., 1999. Sheep and goat production in Algerian steppe areas. CIHEAM-Mediterr. Opt. Series A, 38: 245-247.
- Kondyli, E., C. Svarnas, J. Samelis and M.C. Katsiariet, 2012. Chemical composition and microbiological quality of ewe and goat milk of native Greek breeds. Small Rumin. Res., 103: 194-199.
- Kremer, R., L. Rosés, L. Rista, G. Barbato, F. Perdigon and V. Herrera, 1996. Machine milk yield and composition of non-dairy Corriedale sheep in Uruguay. Small Rumin. Res., 19: 9-14.
- Kuchtik, J., K. Sustova, T. Urban and D. Zapletal, 2008. Effect of stage of lactation on milk composition, its properties and the quality of rennet curdling in East Friesian ewes. Czech J. Anim. Sci., 53: 55-63.
- Maria, G. and D. Gabina, 1993. Non-genetic effects on milk production of Latxca ewes. Small Rumin. Res., 12: 61-67.
- Martini, M. and A. Caroli, 2003. Evaluation of ovine milk clotting aptitude. Italian J. Anim. Sci., 2: 89-95.
- Martini, M., M. Mele, C. Scolozzi and F. Salari, 2008. Cheese making aptitude and the chemical and nutritional characteristics of milk from Massese ewes. Italian J. Anim. Sci., 7: 419-437.

- Mehaia, M.A., M.A. Hablas, K.M. Abdel-Rahman and S.A. El-Mougy, 1995. Milk composition of Majaheim, Wadah and Hamra camels in Saudi Arabia. Food Chem., 52: 115-122.
- Mierlita, D., St. Daraban and F. Lup, 2011. Effects of breed on milk fatty acid profile in dairy ewes, with particular reference to cis-9, trans-11 conjugated linoleic acid. South Afr. J. Anim. Sci., 41(3): 224-231.
- Molina, M.P., 1987. Composition and sources of variation in the milk of Manchega ewes. Ph.D. Thesis, Polytechnic University of Valencia, Valencia, Spain, pp: 239.
- Nudda, A., R. Bencini, S. Mijatovic and G. Pulina, 2002. The yield and composition of milk in Sarda, Awassi and Merino sheep milked unilaterally at different frequencies. J. Dairy Sci., 85: 2879-2884.
- Ochoa-Cordero, M.A., G. Torres-Hernandez, Ochoa-Alfaro, L. Vega-Roque and P.B. Mandeville, 2002. Milk yield and composition of Rambouillet ewes under intensive management. Small Rumin. Res., 43: 269-274.
- ONS, 2009. Office National Statistics: Productions Animales (2000-2009). Retrieved from: http:// www.ons.dz. (Accessed on: August 25, 2012).
- Oravcova, M., M. Margetin, D. Peskovicova, J. Dano, M. Milerski, L. Hetenyi and P. Polak, 2007. Factors affecting ewe's milk fat and protein content and relationships between milk yield and milk composition. Czech J. Anim. Sci., 52(7): 189-198.
- Park, Y.W., M. Juarez, M. Ramos and G.F. W. Haenlein, 2007. Physico-chemical characteristics of goat and sheep milk. Small Rumin. Res., 68: 88-113.
- Pavic, V., N. Antunac, B. Mioc, A. Ivankovic and J.L. Havranek, 2002. Influence of stage of lactation on the chemical composition and physical properties of sheep milk. Czech J. Anim. Sci., 47(2): 80-84.
- Pearse, M.J. and A.G. Mackinlay, 1989. Biochemical aspects of synersis: A review. J. Dairy Sci., 72(6): 1401-1407.
- Pellegrini, O., F. Remeuf and M. Rivemale, 1994. Evolution of physic-chemical characteristics and renneting properties of ewe's milk collected in the "Roquefort area". Lait, 74: 425-442.
- Pelmus, R.S., G.C. Pistol, C. Lazar, D.E. Marin, M. Gras, M. Radu and E. Ghita, 2012. Preliminary study on milk composition and milk protein polymorphism in the Romanian local sheep breed Teleorman Black Hrad Tsigai. Roman. Biotechnol. Lett., 17(5): 7582-7591.
- Pirisi, A., A. Lauret and J.P. Dubeuf, 2007. Basic and incentive payments for goat and sheep milk in relation to quality. Small Rumin. Res., 68: 167-178.

- Pirisi, A., G. Piredda, M.F. Scintu and N. Fois, 2001. Effect of feeding diets on quality characteristics of milk and cheese produced from Sarda ewes. CIHEAM-Mediterr. Opt. Series A, 46: 115-119.
- Potocnik, K., V. Gantner, K. Kuterovac and C. Angela, 2011. Mare's milk: Composition and protein fraction in comparison with different milk species. Mljekarstvo, 62(2): 107-113.
- Rassu, S.P.G., E.A. Cannas, P. Nicolussi, A. Nudda and G. Pulina, 2007. Machine milking management and milk nirogen fraction in primiparous ewes. Italian J. Anim. Sci., 6(Suppl.1): 591-593.
- Raynal-Ljutovac, K., G. Lagriffoul, P. Paccard, I. Guillet and Y. Chilliard, 2008. Composition of goat and sheep milk products: an update. Small Rumin. Res., 79: 57-72.
- Riek, A. and M. Gerker, 2006. Changes in llama (Lama glama) milk composition during lactation. J. Dairy Sci., 89: 3484-3493.
- Rouissi, H., M. Kamoun, R. Rekik, L. Tayachi, S. Hammami and M. Hammami, 2006. Study of milk quality in dairy sheep in Tunisia. CIHEAM-Mediterr. Opt. Series A, 78: 307-311.
- Sahan, N., D. Say and A. Kaçar, 2005. Changes in chemical and mineral contents of Awassi ewe's milk during lactation. Turk. J. Vet. Anim. Sci., 29: 589-593.
- Scintu, M.F. and G. Piredda, 2007. Typicity and biodiversity of goat and sheep milk products. Small Rumin. Res., 68: 221-231.

- Shahani, K.M. and H.H. Sommer, 1951. The protein and non-protein nitrogen fractions in milk. II. Their content in fresh raw milk. J. Dairy Sci., 34: 1010-1013.
- Simos, E.N., E.M. Nikolaou and P.E. Zoiopoulos, 1996. Yield, composition and certain physicochemical characteristics of milk of the Epirus mountain sheep breed. Small Rumin. Res., 20: 67-74.
- Storry, J.E., A.S. Grandisson, D. Milliard, A.J. Owen and G.D. Ford, 1983. Chemical composition and coagulating properties of renneted milks from different breeds and spices of ruminant. J. Dairy Res., 50: 215-229.
- Torres-Hernandez, G. and W. Hohenboken, 1979. Genetic and environmental effects on milk production, milk composition and mastitis incidence in crossbred ewes. J. Anim. Sci., 49: 410-417.
- Wohlt, J.E., D.H. Kleyn, G.W. Vandernoot, D.J. Selfridge and C.A. Novotney, 1981. Effet of stage of lactation, age of sheep, sibling status and sex of lambs on gross and minor constituents of Dorest ewe milk. J. Dairy Sci., 64: 2175-2184.
- Yabrir, B., A. Hakem (ex.Akam), A. Laoun, M. Labiad, N. El-Gallas, A. Hamadi and A. Mati, 2012. Does the aridity of Algerian steppe affect the ewe's raw milk quality. Bull. UASVM Vet. Med., 69(1-2): 282-290.