

## Determination of Browse Intake and Nutrient Digestibility of Grazing West African Dwarf (WAD) Goats Fed Varying Levels of *Gmelina arborea* Leaves as Supplements in Delta State Nigeria

O. Okpara, P.O. Akporhwarho and G.O. Okagbare

Department of Animal Science, Delta State University, Asaba Campus, Asaba, Nigeria

**Abstract:** The Research was carried out to assess the browse intake and nutrient digestibility of grazing West African Dwarf (WAD) goats fed varying levels of *Gmelina arborea* leaves as supplement. Which produces appreciable amount of forage even at the peak of the dry season in the tropics, thereby ensuring all year round supply of foliage and fodder. Thirty growing West Africa Dwarf (WAD) goats were used to determine the level of browse intake and nutrient digestibility by goats fed varying levels *Gmelina arborea* leaves as supplement. The goats were randomly divided into five groups of six animal per group. Goats in Treatment A were fed commercial growers mash, at 0.50 kg, Treatment B were fed 0.25 kg of *Gmelina arborea* leaves, Treatment C were fed 0.50 kg of *Gmelina arborea* leaves, Treatment D 0.75 kg and Treatment E 1.00 kg of *Gmelina arborea* leaves. Data were collected for thirteen weeks on browse intake and nutrient digestibility. Chemical analysis showed significantly ( $p < 0.05$ ) higher crude protein and lower crude fibre content in *Gmelina arborea* leaves than both grass *Pennisetum purpurem* and growers mash. The values observed for growers mash for Nitrogen free extract and ether extract were higher than those observed in *Gmelina arborea* leaves and elephant grass. Significant differences ( $p < 0.05$ ) existed for values recorded by the goats fed the different experimental diets. Goats fed Diet E had the highest ( $p < 0.05$ ) browse intake than Goat fed Diet D and C. Goat fed Diet B recorded the least significant ( $p < 0.05$ ) quantity of browse intake. Dry matter digestibility values as well as the crude protein digestibility were appreciably high for all animal fed the experimental diets. The goats fed diet E had the highest crude fibre digestibility followed by goats fed diet A and that of D, B and C respectively. Therefore, the study suggested 0.5 kg inclusion of *Gmelina arborea* leaves in the diet of grazing WAD goats as the optimum level for better performance.

**Keywords:** Browse intake, determination, grazing, goat, *Gmelina arborea*, nutrient digestibility, supplements

### INTRODUCTION

The problem of seasonal fluctuation in both quality and quantity of animal feed in the tropics calls for exploration of some variety of multipurpose tree and shrubs abundant all the year round in the region in order to determine their suitability for livestock feeding. Due to the non availability of pasture lands, more attention is now being given to tree leaves and shrubs for feeding sheep and goats in most areas of the World (Ayuk *et al.*, 2007). In Nigeria, heavy weight loss and high mortality in goat due to lack of forage during the dry season are common in livestock farms. Multipurpose trees and shrubs fodders are important feed resources for bridging the seasonal deficit in feed quantity and quality (Okagbare *et al.*, 2005a, b). One of the modification strategies advocated for the typical free roaming characteristics of animals in the traditional system is the tethering feeding method which confines the animals within a restricted location for grazing (Otchere and Kallah, 1990). Available information by Ogebe (2000) and Ogebe *et al.* (1999) indicates that the tethering feeding system is used to restrain the animals

to avoid crop damage. Francis (1988) reported that some flocks were controlled by tethering to avoid crop damage during the cropping season in South East Nigeria. Adoeye (1984) reported that small ruminant production has been integrated with alley cropping by cut and carry feeding of a portion of the tree foliage, or at a some what, higher management level, by grazing the natural fallow re-growth and tree during periodic fallow years. Okagbare *et al.* (2004) showed that *Gmelina arborea* leaves are rich source of protein with high content of protein (22.29%) and high ash (6.28%). This high nitrogen content of these tree species contributes to improving the nutritional quality of the diet of grazing ruminants (Adoeye, 1984).

Osakwe and Smith (2004) reported that there have been few grazing trials in the country to determine productivity of pasture and small ruminant performance, they suggested the planting of browse species such as *Leucaena leucocephala*, *Gliricidia sepium* and *Gmelina arborea* as hedgerows of alleys in native or productive permanent grass species may overcome the constraint to animal production caused by lack of fodder in the dry season.

Sansacy and Emery (1982) suggested that in order to reduce competition between man and livestock for feeding stuffs, non conventional feed ingredients should be exploited and used for livestock feeding. Hence, this study is aim at evaluating browse intake and nutrient digestibility of WAD goats.

## MATERIALS AND METHODS

The study was conducted at the Goat unit of the Teaching and Research Farm of the Department of Animal Science, Faculty of Agriculture, Delta State University, Asaba Campus. Delta State falls within the humid tropics of Nigeria and Asaba precisely lies between Longitudes 6 °E and 8 °E and Latitudes 06 °49 North of the Equator. Asaba has its raining season from March to September with a mean annual rainfall of 1500-1849.3 mm. It has a moderate climate with very high temperature during the dry season (October-February) with its mean annual temperature and precipitation of 28°C±6°C and 117 mm, respectively. Asaba metrological station 2011.

**Experimental animals:** Thirty growing male and female West African Dwarf goats of 6-11 months of age, weighing 12 -22.5 kg were used for the study and it was verified by using the dentition estimation method (Sastry and Thomas, 1980). The goats were individually divided into five groups of six goats per treatment (one per replicant). Groups A were fed commercial growers mash at 0.50 kg/goat, group B were fed *Gmelina arborea* leaves at 0.25 kg/goat, group C were fed 0.50 kg/ goat, while group D were fed 0.75 kg/ goat and group E were fed *Gmelina arborea* leaves at 1.00 kg/goat.

The *Gmelina arborae* leaves were harvested fresh without regards to the age of the leaves and offered to goats. Prior to serving new feed and water, the remnant of the *Gmelina arborea* leaves in each pen was weighed daily before washing and dissecting the pen floor.

At 9am hour every day the goats were taken out for grazing in the paddock. After grazing for five hours daily the goats were brought back to their treatment pens. The feeding trial lasted for 90 days. Weighing was done once a week in the morning before they were taking out for grazing.

The goats were generally observed during the feeding trial and the quality of the daily feeds provided for each animal was recorded, while remnants of the previous day feed were individually weighed to determine the daily feed intake of each animal.

## DATA COLLECTION AND ANALYSIS

Data were collected on feed intake; feed intake was calculated as the difference between amount of feed

served daily and the leftover as the trial lasted. The goats weighed individually once a week, to determine the live weight change. The samples or experimental diets were collected during the experiment for Dry Matter (DM) determination and chemical analysis. The samples were weighed and dried and then stored for proximate and fibre component analysis. At the 13<sup>th</sup> week of the study four animals from each experiment group were selected and place in metabolic cages to determine the nutrient digestibility of the goats. Samples of feeds were analyzed for their proximate composition using the procedures of the AOAC (1990). Data generated were analyzed using SAS Institute (1985) and treatment mean were separated using Duncan's multiple range test (Duncan, 1955).

## RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The results of the chemical composition of *Gmelina arborea* leaves, *Pennisetum purpureum* and growers mash are shown in Table 1. The crude protein content of *Pennisetum purpureum* (11.38%) and growers' mash (16.63%) recorded lower values compared with *Gmelina arborea* leaves (19.26%). The values observed for crude fibre were significantly (p<0.05) higher for *Pennisetum purpureum* (24.00%) than values recorded for *Gmelina* (11.00%) and growers mash (7.50%).

The values recorded for growers mash for nitrogen free extract (58.71%) and ether extract (2.00%) were higher (p<0.05) than those observed in *Gmelina* for nitrogen free extract (12.84%) and ether extract (0.50%), while the values observed for elephant grass for nitrogen free extract (10.91%) and ether extract (1.00%) were lower (p<0.05). The energy content of feeds was determined using the ballistic bomb calorimeter.

Table 2 shows the values recorded for the weekly browse intake (on dry matter basis) by the grazing goats fed varying levels of *Gmelina arborea* leaves. Significant differences (p<0.05) existed among values recorded for the average weekly browse intake. Goats fed diet E (1.00 kg) *Gmelina arborea* leaves had the highest (p<0.05) browse intake with average daily

Table 1: Chemical composition (%) of experimental diet

| Nutrients                   | <i>Gmelina</i><br>leaf | Elephant<br>grass | Growers<br>mash   |
|-----------------------------|------------------------|-------------------|-------------------|
| Dry matter                  | 52.75                  | 60.62             | 90.84             |
| Crude protein               | 19.26                  | 11.38             | 16.63             |
| Crude fibre                 | 11.00                  | 24.00             | 7.50              |
| Ash                         | 9.15                   | 13.33             | 6.00              |
| Ether extract               | 0.50                   | 1.00              | 2.00              |
| Nitrogen free extract       | 12.84                  | 10.91             | 58.71             |
| Neutral detergent fibre     | 59.72                  | 68.14             | Not<br>determined |
| Acid detergent fibre        | 41.28                  | 47.26             | Not<br>determined |
| Gross energy (kcal/g<br>Dm) | 3.05                   | 3.65              | 3.95              |

Table 2: Shows the browse intake of grazing WAD goats fed varying levels of *Gmelina arborea* leaves as supplement

| Weeks<br>wks | Control and growers<br>mash TA | <i>Gmelina arborea</i> leaves (kg) |                    |                   |                    |      | S.E. |
|--------------|--------------------------------|------------------------------------|--------------------|-------------------|--------------------|------|------|
|              |                                | TB                                 | TC                 | TD                | TE                 |      |      |
| 1            | 2.80 <sup>b</sup>              | 1.52 <sup>b</sup>                  | 2.35 <sup>b</sup>  | 4.57 <sup>a</sup> | 6.13 <sup>a</sup>  | 0.48 |      |
| 2            | 2.80 <sup>b</sup>              | 1.72 <sup>c</sup>                  | 2.33 <sup>b</sup>  | 4.55 <sup>a</sup> | 4.72 <sup>a</sup>  | 0.33 |      |
| 3            | 2.62 <sup>b</sup>              | 1.70 <sup>c</sup>                  | 2.62 <sup>b</sup>  | 4.70 <sup>a</sup> | 4.84 <sup>a</sup>  | 0.35 |      |
| 4            | 2.40 <sup>b</sup>              | 1.58 <sup>b</sup>                  | 1.88 <sup>b</sup>  | 3.55 <sup>a</sup> | 3.80 <sup>a</sup>  | 0.26 |      |
| 5            | 2.32 <sup>b</sup>              | 1.63 <sup>c</sup>                  | 2.63 <sup>b</sup>  | 4.22 <sup>a</sup> | 4.58 <sup>a</sup>  | 0.31 |      |
| 6            | 2.07 <sup>b</sup>              | 1.97 <sup>a</sup>                  | 2.33 <sup>ab</sup> | 3.80 <sup>a</sup> | 3.12 <sup>ab</sup> | 0.27 |      |
| 7            | 2.03 <sup>b</sup>              | 1.75 <sup>b</sup>                  | 2.33 <sup>b</sup>  | 3.98 <sup>a</sup> | 3.90 <sup>a</sup>  | 0.29 |      |
| 8            | 2.22 <sup>b</sup>              | 1.75 <sup>b</sup>                  | 2.43 <sup>b</sup>  | 4.62 <sup>a</sup> | 5.27 <sup>a</sup>  | 0.39 |      |
| 9            | 1.72 <sup>b</sup>              | 1.75 <sup>b</sup>                  | 2.23 <sup>b</sup>  | 4.43 <sup>a</sup> | 4.43 <sup>a</sup>  | 0.36 |      |
| 10           | 2.35 <sup>bc</sup>             | 1.75 <sup>c</sup>                  | 2.83 <sup>b</sup>  | 5.12 <sup>a</sup> | 5.43 <sup>a</sup>  | 0.41 |      |
| 11           | 2.57 <sup>bc</sup>             | 1.70 <sup>c</sup>                  | 2.95 <sup>b</sup>  | 4.92 <sup>a</sup> | 4.98 <sup>a</sup>  | 0.37 |      |
| 12           | 1.95 <sup>bc</sup>             | 1.63 <sup>c</sup>                  | 2.17 <sup>b</sup>  | 5.12 <sup>a</sup> | 4.67 <sup>a</sup>  | 0.39 |      |
| 13           | 2.38 <sup>c</sup>              | 1.75 <sup>c</sup>                  | 2.98 <sup>c</sup>  | 4.85 <sup>a</sup> | 3.98 <sup>ab</sup> | 0.34 |      |

Within each row, means with different superscripts are significantly different ( $p < 0.05$ ) a,b,c; TA = Treatment A (0.50 kg commercial grower mash); TB = Treatment B (0.25 kg *Gmelina arborea* leaves supplementation); TC = Treatment C (0.50 kg *Gmelina arborea* leaves supplementation); TD = Treatment D (0.75 kg *Gmelina arborea* leaves supplementation); TE = Treatment E (1.00 kg *Gmelina arborea* leaves supplementation); WAD = West African Dwarf goat SE = Standard Error as control)

Table 3: Nutrient digestibility (%) by grazing WAD goats fed varying levels of *Gmelina* leaves as supplements

| Parameters              | A feeds           | B 25%             | C 50%             | D75%               | E 100%             | SEM  |
|-------------------------|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|--------------------|--------------------|------|
| Dry matter              | 76.1 <sup>c</sup> | 71.1 <sup>a</sup> | 76.5 <sup>c</sup> | 78.6 <sup>d</sup>  | 74.1 <sup>b</sup>  | 1.90 |
| Crude protein           | 83.1 <sup>c</sup> | 73.2 <sup>b</sup> | 70.4 <sup>a</sup> | 70.8 <sup>ab</sup> | 74.1 <sup>c</sup>  | 2.73 |
| Crude fibre             | 69.0 <sup>b</sup> | 55.1 <sup>a</sup> | 46.6 <sup>a</sup> | 66.8 <sup>b</sup>  | 73.5 <sup>b</sup>  | 2.80 |
| Ether extract           | 69.3 <sup>c</sup> | 67.7 <sup>c</sup> | 33.0 <sup>b</sup> | 22.1 <sup>b</sup>  | 10.3 <sup>a</sup>  | 2.90 |
| Nitrogen free extract   | 60.0 <sup>c</sup> | 26.6 <sup>b</sup> | 32.2 <sup>b</sup> | 45.2 <sup>b</sup>  | 14.3 <sup>a</sup>  | 2.70 |
| Acid detergent fibre    | -                 | 82.2 <sup>a</sup> | 80.4 <sup>c</sup> | 88.4 <sup>c</sup>  | 85.2 <sup>ab</sup> | 0.71 |
| Neutral detergent fibre | -                 | 79.4 <sup>b</sup> | 79.4 <sup>b</sup> | 48.6 <sup>c</sup>  | 76.6 <sup>ab</sup> | 1.20 |

a, b, c, d values on the same row with identical superscript are not significantly ( $p > 0.05$ ) different

browse intake of 0.88 kg per animal than goat fed treatment D. diet, (0.75 kg) *Gmelina arborea* leaves with average daily browse intake of 0.65 kg per animal. However, they recorded average weekly total browse intake of 3.98 kg per animal in group E and 4.88 kg per animal in group D. While the goats fed diet C (0.50 kg) *Gmelina arborea* leaves recorded average daily browse intake of 0.34 kg per animal with average weekly total browse intake of 2.98 kg per animal. But goats fed diet B representing (0.25 kg) *Gmelina Arborea* leaves recorded the least ( $p < 0.05$ ) quantity of browse intake with average daily browse intake of 0.22 kg per animal and average weekly total browse intake of 1.75 kg per animal.

The goats fed diet A (0.50 kg) commercial growers mash recorded average daily feed intake of 0.40 kg per animal with average weekly total feed intake 2.38 kg per animal during the experimental period.

The results of nutrient digestibility are presented in Table 3. The dry matter digestibility as well as the crude protein digestibility was appreciably high for all the experimental animals. Goats in treatment B had a mean crude protein digestibility of 73.20%. Goat in treatment D had 70.80%, followed closely by goats in treatment C with mean crude protein digestibility of 70.40%. Goats in diet A recorded higher ( $p < 0.05$ ) crude protein digestibility.

The group fed with diet E had highest crude fibre digestibility value of 73.50%, followed by those fed on

diets A, (69.00%) and that of D (66.89%), B (55.10%) and C (46.60%) respectively. The goats on diet C had the highest N-intake of 24.70g/day followed by those on diets C (23.00g/day), D (19.2 oglday), B (1 2.90 g/day) and A (1 2.6 oglday), respectively.

Browse plants as excellent fodder are generally richer than grasses in protein and minerals, although information on their chemical compositions is scanty. Recently the use of browse plant supplementation with grasses has however assumed great importance in the tropics.

*Gmelina arborea* leaves used in this study, showed premiss as feed for goats, due to the high crude protein content. The crude protein value 19.26% obtained in *Gmelina* leaves in this study is comparable with previously reported values by earlier workers (Okagbare *et al.*, 2004; Onabarijo and Onwuka, 1998). The crude protein of *Gmelina arborea* leaves obtained in this study is similar to crude protein content values reported for other browse plants such as *Albizia Iebbeck* -22.3, *Gliridia sipum* 24.7, *Leucaena leucocephala* 23.8, *Parkia biglobosa* 17.9, *Interolibium cyclocarpum* 19.0 and *Verminia guoygdalina* 29.1 (Babyemi *et al.*, 2005). The crude protein value in this study also compares favourably with the crude protein values of some foliage crops that have been evaluated and integrated into ruminant feeding. They include *Bamibpsa vulgaris*, 22.38%, *Mangefera indica* 15.13% and *Newbouldia leavis* 15.57% respectively by Ikhimioya (2005).

This high crude protein in the diets has been considered as an important factor in ruminant's diet because free choice intake of feed by animals is increased by the increase in crude protein content of the diet.

The value of 19.26% crude protein in this present study was far above 7% recommended for tropical livestock by Minson (1990) below which there will be a deficiency in performance. The crude protein of *Gmelina* in this study is also higher than those reported by Okagbare and Brattle (1999) for the leaves of *Parkia filicoidea* and by Gall (1981) for the leaves of *Opuntia ficusindica*, *Opuntia lindulmeri*, *Opuntia enjelami*. The crude protein obtained in this study are also higher than other browse plants reported by Babyemi *et al.* (2005), for *Acacia ferringinea* (14.0), *Acacia fistula* (12.9), *Feltophorum pheracarpum* (11.6) and *Albizia samen* (11.2). The crude protein and the ash content in this study is higher than that of the control diet (treatment A) which makes the plants under study richer in minerals and vitamins and serves as complete fodder for livestock.

It is quite obvious that the browse plant under study (*Gmelina arborea*) has a high potential compared to other available browse plants, as sources of feed supplement for ruminants with regards to their chemical compositions, most especially the high crude protein levels. This is of great importance in helping to checkmate the problem of weight loss in ruminant livestock management which is common during the dry season, when the quality of available grasses would have dropped drastically.

As shown in Table 2 significant differences ( $p < 0.05$ ) existed among values recorded for the average weekly browse intake. Goats on diet B (0.25 kg) *Gmelina* leaves recorded the least quantity of browse intake 1.75 kg per animal. This agrees with earlier works of Ademusum *et al.* (1985) and Ifut (1992) that when the proportion of *Panicum maximum* in the diet of *Gliricidia* was increased, dry matter digestibility fell. This is as a result of inadequate supplementation of the browse leaves in this study; goats on this treatment were not getting enough *Gmelina* leaves supplementation. This in turn slow their growth rate/weight increase reported by Castillo *et al.* (2001) that, average daily weight gain was affected by protein-energy levels in the diets. The tendency for lower growth rate at each level of protein supplementation could be due partly to low intake of energy. However, goats on diet C (0.50 kg) *Gmelina arborea* leaves recorded average weekly total browse intake of 2.98 kg per animal.

As reported by the Agricultural Research Council (1985) that the presence of a fermentable energy source in the diet allows high nitrogen feeds such as *Gliricidia* and *Leucanna* to be utilized more efficiently, the optimum level of *Gmelina arborea* leaves 0.50 kg

supplementation in this study was well utilized by the goats. The browse intake in this diet was absolute, goats were gaining weight, dry matter digestibility was high compare to diet B in supplementation of diet C at this level of 0.50 kg intake of *Gmelina arborea* leaves which represent the optimum level of browse intake to grazing goats in this study compared favourably with report of *Gmelina arborea* leaves as reported earlier by Okagbare *et al.* (2004); They stated that when *Gmelina arborea* leaves was supplemented with *Panicum maximum* and *Pennisetum purpureum* with the browse constituting 50-52% of total dry matter intake, weight gain was enhance by the goats. In a similar study with other browse plant (Aina, 1998) reported that *Tephrosial* induces better weight gain and feed conversion efficiency in sheep and goat in *Tephrosial* elephant grass mixture.

Goats fed diet E 1.00 kg *Gmelina arborea* leaves had the highest ( $p < 0.05$ ) browse intake with average weekly total browse intake of 3.98 kg per animal, than goat fed group D diet 0.75 kg *Gmelina* leaves with average weekly total browse intake of 4.88 kg per animal. Goats on this treatment have the highest browse intake but they consistently lost weight. This is in agreement with Okagbare and Bratte (1999) which stated that though the dry matter intake by goats fed *Gmelina* leaves was high, the goats consistently lost weight, which then attributed to inadequate energy intake of goats fed *Gmelina* leaves. In earlier studies, higher level of browse intake as reported by Akingbade *et al.* (2004) stated that the sole use of *Gliricidia setium* was responsible for the lower overall weight loss of goats, which agrees with the trend also observed for goats fed 1.00 kg and 0.75 kg *Gmelina arborea* leaves inclusion in the diet used in this study in which all the goats lost weight.

The works of Aina (1998) and Bamikole and Babayemi (2004) further stressed the effect of high level of browse leaves in goats diets which the findings of this study confirmed. They had reported that none of the Multipurpose Trees and Shrubs (MPTS) may be able to Support a profitable goat production when used as a sole feed, because at this high level of browse intake, there was always a weight loss in all the experimental animals, which agrees with the findings on goats fed treatments E and D in this study where all the animals lost weight consistently. However, they suggested that multipurpose trees and shrubs will be more profitably utilized as supplements at a reduced level of inclusion in animal diets, but they did not establish their fact with any optimum level.

This study, therefore established the optimum level of 0.50 kg of *Gmelina arborea* leaves (browse intake) supplementation to adult grazing goats, were all treated animals gained weight at a total average weekly weight of 1.13 kg at the end of the experiment.

The digestibility values as shown in Table 3 were fairly high in all the treatments. This high level of dry matter (76.50%), ether extract (33.00%) and nitrogen free extract (32.20%) digestibility by goats in treatment C (0.50 kg) *Gmelina arborea* leaves enhanced the performance of goats on this treatment inspite of the high crude protein digestibility recorded (70.40%).

The high ash content of *Gmelina arborea* leaves in this study 9.15% is comparable with report from earlier study by Okagbare *et al.* (2004). This high content of dry matter, crude protein and energy content suggests that *Gmelina arborea* leaves may serve as a complete fodder for livestock because they are rich in protein and minerals. This high nutrient content digestibility is in agreement with earlier study by Ayoade *et al.* (1998) that the nutrients of the leaves of *Tephrosia bracteolata* were highly digestible and resulted in some appreciable live weight changes of 0.047 kg/day. While in a *Panicum maximum* plus *Gliricidia* diet, dry matter digestibility fell as the proportion of *Panicum maximum* in the diet increased Ademusum *et al.* (1985) and Ifut (1992). This implies that there must be an optimum level of browse/grass supplementation which this study tend to address, that for adult grazing goats 0.50 kg *Gmelina* leaves is the optimum to be fed on daily basis as supplement. The weight lost as stated by Okagbare and Bratte (1999) was due to inadequate energy intake by goats. The presence of a fermentable energy source in the diet allows high nitrogen feed such as *Gliricidia* and *Leucaena* to be utilized more efficiently, (Agricultural Research Council, 1985).

Based on these experiments, a small amount of sun dried cassava peels (50 g/day) could be ideal as a supplement to *Gliricidia* and *Leucaena* which is in agreement with the optimum level of 0.50 kg of *Gmelina arborea* leaves in this study. However certain inherent substances in many of the browse trees limit their use as forage for ruminants when they exceed threshold levels (Jabbar *et al.*, 1997).

Poppi and McLennan (1995) reported that protein-rich supplements cannot be effectively utilized in the rumen when energy sources are not available. In addition, Castillo *et al.* (2001) reported that average daily weight gain is affected by protein - energy levels in diets and the tendency for lower growth rate at each level of protein supplementation is due partly to low intake of energy. The finding of this study, for goats fed at 0.75 kg and 1.00 kg although had high protein digestibility, but all goats lost weight consistently. These findings may therefore be attributed to probable inadequate protein energy balance in these diets, this corroborating the reports of Poppi and McLennan (1995) and Castillo *et al.* (2001).

## CONCLUSION

The result of this study showed that *Gmelina arborea* leaves when fed as supplement to grazing goats

will improve the dry matter intake and nutrient digestibility of goats. This study also suggested 0.50 kg of *Gmelina arborea* leaves in the diet of grazing goats as the optimum, were all the animal were gaining weight.

## REFERENCES

- Ademusum, A.A., H.G. Busman and P.L. Roessen, 1985. Small Ruminant in African Agriculture. In: Wuson, R.T. and Bourzatt (Eds.), ILCA Ads Ababa, Ethiopia.
- Adoeye, S.O., 1984. Sheep and Goats in Humid West Africa. In: Sumberg, J.E. and K. Cassaday (Eds.), Proceeding of the Workshop on Small Ruminant Production Systems in the Humid Zone of West Africa. Ibadan, Nigeria, January 23-26, pp: 41-46.
- Agricultural Research Council, 1985. Agricultural Research Council: The Nutrient Requirements of Farm Animals, No. 2, Ruminants: Technical Review of Summaries. ARC, London.
- Aina, A.B.J., 1998. Preliminary study in the use of *Margaritaria discoidea* leaf in the diet of West African Dwarf goats. Niger. J. Anim. Prod., 25(2): 169-172.
- Akingbade, A.A., I.V. Nsahlai, C.D. Morris and P.A. Fiji, 2004. Field activities and blood profiles of pregnant South Africa Indigenous goats after receiving dihydroxy pyridine-degrading rumen bacteria and grazing *Leucaena leucocephala*-grass or natural pastures. J. Agric. Sci., 138: 103-113.
- AOAC, 1990. Official Method of Analysis. 15th Edn., Association of Official Analytical Chemists, Washington, D.C.
- Ayoade, D.A., P.O. Ogebe, A.I. Okwori and J. Ogebeide, 1998. Nutritional potentials of *Tephrosia bracteolata* leaves as sole feed for goats. In: Oduguwa, O.O., A.O. Fanomo and O.A. Osinowo (Eds.), Animal Agriculture in West Africa: The Sustainability Question. Proceedings of the Silver Anniversary Conference of NSAP and the Inaugural Conference of WASAP at Gateway Hotel. Abeokuta, Nigeria, March 21 -26, pp: 53-54.
- Ayuk, A.A., B.I. Okon and O.E. Ikoi, 2007. Performance of wienner West African Dwarf (WAD) goats fed treated and untreated cocoa pod husk (*Theobroma cacao*). Trop. J. Anim. Sci., 10(1-2): 457-460.
- Babyemi, O.J., M.A. Banikole, O.M. Arigbede and U.J. Ikatua, 2005. Nutritive value of *Ficus religiosa* in West African Dwarf goats. Anim. Feed Sci. Tech., 105: 71-79.
- Bamikole, M.A. and O.J. Babayemi, 2004. Feeding goats with *Liminae* grassverano stylo and nitrogen fertilizer grass with energy concentrate. Arch. Zootec., 53: 13-23.

- Castillo, A.R., E. Kebreab, D.E. Beerer, J.H. Barbi, J.D. Sutton, H.C. Kirby and J. Franceas, 2001. The effect of protein supplementation on nitrogen utilization in lactating dairy cows fed grass silage diets. *J. Anim. Sci.*, 79: 247-253.
- Duncan, D.B., 1955. Multiple ranges and multiple F. Tests. *Biometrics*, 11(1): 1-42.
- Francis, P.A., 1988. Livestock and Farming Systems in South East Nigeria. In: Smith, O.B. and W.A. Bonsman (Eds.), *Goats Production in the Humid Tropics*. S-Shop at University of Ife, Ile-Ife, July 20-24, pp: 159-169.
- Gall, C., 1981. *Goat Production*. Academic Press, Incorporation London, England.
- Ifut, O.J., 1992. Body weight response of West African dwarf goats fed *Gliricidia sepium*, *Panicum maximum* and cassava (*Manihot*) peels. In: Stares, J.E.S., A.N. Said and J.A. Kategile (Eds.), *The Complementarity of Feed Resources for Animal Production in Africa*. Proceeding of Joint Feed Resources Networks Workshop. March 4-8, 1991, Gaborone, Botswana.
- Ikhimioya, I., 2005. Chemical composition of some dry season shrub and tree foliage in Edo State Nigeria. *Trop. J. Anim. Sci.*, 23: 62-163.
- Jabbar, M.A., L. Reynolds, A. Larbi and J. Smith, 1997. Nutritional and economic benefits of *Luecaena* and *Gliricidia* as feed supplements for small ruminants in humid West Africa. *Trop. Anim. Health Pro.*, 29(1): 35-47.
- Minson, D.J., 1990. *Forage in Ruminant Nutrition*. Academic Press, New York.
- Ogebe, P.O., 2000. Influence of duration of tethering on the performance of West African Dwarf goats management under tethering feeding system. Proceedings of 25th Annual NSAP Conference. March 19-23, Umudike.
- Ogebe, P.O., E.J. Ako and A. Adeshiuwa, 1999. Comparative evaluation of growth and Ingestion behavior of West African Dwarf goats. *Livestock Res. Rural Dev.*, 12(1): 2000.
- Okagbare, G.O. and I. Bratte, 1999. Comparative utilization of *parkia filicoidea*, *Tphrosia bracteolax* *Gmelina arborea* leaves by goats. *Trop. J. Anim. Sci.*, 2(2): 113-117.
- Okagbare, G.O., O.J. Akpodiete and H.O. Osayuwu, 2005a. Feed intake and nutrient utilization by West African dwarf goats fed *Gmelina arborea* leaves supplemented with grasses. *Trop. J. Anim. Sci.*, 8(2): 17-22.
- Okagbare, G.O., O.J. Akpodiete, O. Esiekpe and O.M. Onagbesan, 2004. Evaluation of *Gmelina arborea* leaves supplemented with grass (*Panicum maximum* and *Pennisetum purpureum*) as feed for West African dwarf goats. *Trop. Anim. Health Pro.*, 36: 593-598.
- Okagbare, G.O., S.O. Nwokoro and O.M. Onagbesan, 2005b. Protein and energy requirements of pre-weaned West African dwarf goats fed soya-bean diet as a replacement for milk in a tropical environment. *Trop. Anim. Health Pro.*, 36(6): 547-555.
- Onabarijo, O.O. and C.F.L. Onwuka, 1998. *Gmelina arborea* leaves and some supplements as dry season feed for West African dwarf (*Fouta djallon*) goats. Proceeding of Nigeria Society of Animal Production. Abeokuta, pp: 369-370.
- Osakwe, I.I. and J.W. Smith, 2004. Performance of Sheep and Goat Grazing *Purpureum* and *Panicum Maximum* in *Gliricidia Sepium* Alley Plots. In: Oguniji, J.O., I.I. Osakwe, U.U. Ewa, S.O. Alaku, M.O. Otuma and B.O. Nweze (Eds.), of the Proceedings of the 9th Annual Conference of Animal Science Association of Nigeria. Ebonyi State University, Nigeria, Sept. 13-16, pp: 122-125.
- Otchere, O.O. and M.S. Kallah, 1990. Small Ruminant Production under Traditional Management in Nigeria. In: Osinowo, O.O. (Ed.), *the Nigeria Sheep and Goat Production Manual*. NAPRI, Zaria.
- Poppi, D.P. and S.R. McLennan, 1995. Protein and energy utilization by ruminants at pasture. *J. Anim. Sci.*, 73: 278-290.
- Sansacy, R. and B. Emery, 1982. *Animal Production and Health Paper 32*. FAO/ILCA Dakar, Senegal, pp: 7-18.
- SAS Institute, 1985. *SAS User's Guide: Statistics*. 5th Edn., SAS Institute, Cary, N.C.
- Sastry, N.S.R. and C.K. Thomas, 1980. *Farm Animal husbandry*. Vikas Publishing House PVT Ltd., New Delhi, India, pp: 29-45.