

Research Article

Food Production Enterprise Production Planning and Scheduling

Jing Duan, Jianjun Yu and Guangwen Liang

School of Business Administration, South China University of Technology, Guangzhou, 510640, China

Abstract: As we all known, Production planning and scheduling is one of the important parts of food production production and management. Thus, the decision capacity of production planning will greatly and directly affect the economic benefits of companies and the development of operations. This study will describe the production scheduling problem of food production companies, by summarizing and review the previous studies, we specially introduce the use of programming optimization in food production production planning and scheduling and then analyze the instance son, build model using mathematics methods and finally solve the problem with software.

Keywords: Food production enterprise, optimization, planning and scheduling, production scheduling, programming

INTRODUCTION

The relationship between humans and the food production is very close, spreading to all aspects of life. In modern life, we can't live without food production products anytime and anywhere, from the material life such as clothing, food, shelter to the spiritual life for example culture, arts and entertainment. Their production and use, even on behalf of a certain historical stage of human civilization.

Production scheduling problem of food production researches has attracted the attention of many scholars. Kiran and Smith (1984) summarized the research status in a dynamic environment based Job shop scheduling a simulation model of the pure (Montazeri and Van Wassehove, 1990). Rule bases scheduling method including the 20 common rules and for an actual FMS, analyzes the average waiting time of these rules, such as operations on the system performance, the machine the average utilization, the total processing time of the job impact. Wu *et al.* (2003) with a flexible PCB (printed circuit board) to install the system as an example, the scheduling method is proposed based on KBS knowledge (Knowledge one Based Scheduling).

Mathematical programming is one of the main methods of research and production scheduling. Voudouris and Grossman (1996) for Zero Wait for the next (ZW) operation the batch process scheduling problems have been studied and established a complex MILP model and proposed several simplified method for solving. Pinto and Grossman (1996) Presents a continuous time MILP model focuses on short-term scheduling problem of parallel devices. This model uses a pre-sorting (pre-ordering) strategy, with some simple

arithmetic processing of pre-determined sequence of different batches, thereby reducing the number of binary variables in the model, accelerate the solving model. This study will use the mathematical programming method for a food production plant for production scheduling optimization.

METHODOLOGY

Example description: ZE daily food production plant is a professional production and sales of cleaning products business in southern China, the main products include special effects floor cleaning liquid, efficient dishwashing detergent, ZE hand washing gel, bleach, efficient toilet cleaner, air freshener. In addition to these six products sold outside alone, companies also packaged these products into a package promotions to market, including: a toilet kit numbered as one (two boxes effects floor cleaning liquid, two ZE hand washing gel, three air fresheners, two efficient cleaning toilet agent and an emulsifier), bedroom suit numbered as two (two effects floor cleaning liquid, an air freshener and a decoration), hall suit numbered as three (four effects floor cleaning liquid, an air freshener and a piece ashtray) and kitchen kits numbered as four (three effects floor cleaning liquid, two ZE hand washing gel, three efficient dishwashing detergent and one emulsifier). Among them, emulsifiers, ornaments and ashtrays are company's outsourcing products, as sales of packaged kits donated gifts.

With the development of enterprises, ZE food production plant through acquisitions opened two plants in eastern China and central China, mainly serving the local market. Because of the ability of different regions

Corresponding Author: Jianjun Yu, School of Business Administration, South China University of Technology, Guangzhou, 510640, China

This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License (URL: <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>).

Table 1: Unit variable costs and benefits of different plant's products (unit: RMB)

| Product name | The factory in south China | | The factory in east China | | The factory in central China | |
|---|----------------------------|--------------------|---------------------------|--------------------|------------------------------|--------------------|
| | Unit cost | Unit selling price | Unit cost | Unit selling price | Unit cost | Unit selling price |
| Special effects floor cleaning solution | 12 | 22 | 13 | 22 | 13 | 24 |
| Efficient dishwashing detergent | 12 | 25 | 13 | 24 | 13 | 24 |
| ZE wash hands dew | 9 | 18 | 9 | 20 | 7 | 18 |
| Bleaching powder | 9 | 15 | 10 | 16 | 11 | 16 |
| Efficient toilet bowl cleaner | 15 | 27 | 17 | 28 | 18 | 30 |
| Air freshener | 8 | 18 | 10 | 20 | 10 | 20 |
| Toilet kit 1 | 115 | 140 | 116 | 140 | 115 | 118 |
| Bedroom suite 2 | 40 | 50 | 42 | 55 | 45 | 55 |
| Hall suite 3 | 56 | 70 | 55 | 75 | 55 | 75 |
| Kitchen suite 4 | 90 | 110 | 90 | 110 | 92 | 115 |

Table 2: Labor consumption and maximum production capacity of different plant's products

| Product name | The factory in south China | | The factory in east China | | The factory in central China | |
|---|----------------------------|--------------------|---------------------------|--------------------|------------------------------|--------------------|
| | Unit cost | Unit selling price | Unit cost | Unit selling price | Unit cost | Unit selling price |
| Special effects floor cleaning solution | 0.10 | 0.05 | 0.12 | 0.05 | 0.10 | 0.04 |
| Efficient dishwashing detergent | 0.10 | 0.05 | 0.10 | 0.04 | 0.12 | 0.05 |
| ZE wash hands dew | 0.12 | 0.08 | 0.15 | 0.08 | 0.12 | 0.09 |
| Bleaching powder | 0.15 | 0.10 | 0.20 | 0.10 | 0.15 | 0.12 |
| Efficient toilet bowl cleaner | 0.15 | 0.10 | 0.12 | 0.12 | 0.13 | 0.10 |
| Air freshener | 0.12 | 0.10 | 0.11 | 0.12 | 0.11 | 0.10 |
| Labor hours | 9500 | 6000 | 11000 | 6400 | 9800 | 6500 |

Table 3: Hours and productivity suite packaging ability of different plants

| | The factory in south China | The factory in east China | The factory in central China |
|-------------------------------|----------------------------|---------------------------|------------------------------|
| Packing unit working hours | 0.25 | 0.20 | 0.25 |
| The number of hours available | 1180 | 1000 | 1330 |

Table 4: Predict future market demand for the next 2 months

| Product | The factory in south China | The factory in east China | The factory in central China |
|---|----------------------------|---------------------------|------------------------------|
| Special effects floor cleaning solution | (10000, 20000) | (11000, 20000) | (10000, 20000) |
| Efficient dishwashing detergent | (12000, 22000) | (15000, 22000) | (12000, 20000) |
| ZE wash hands dew | (5000, 11000) | (4500, 8000) | (4500, 11000) |
| Bleaching powder | (10000, 15000) | (12000, 18000) | (10000, 17000) |
| Efficient toilet bowl cleaner | (4000, 8000) | (3000, 6000) | (4500, 7000) |
| Air freshener | (1000, 5000) | (1000, 6000) | (1100, 5000) |
| Toilet kit 1 | (2000, 5000) | (2000, 5000) | (2200, 5500) |
| Bedroom suite 2 | (200, 1000) | (500, 1500) | (300, 800) |
| Hall suite 3 | (500, 2000) | (300, 1500) | (500, 1500) |
| Kitchen suite 4 | (2000, 8000) | (2000, 10000) | (1000, 9000) |

of the consumer, the purchase price of raw materials and employee wages are not the same, XD food production plant producing these products, the cost and the market price is not the same in different regions. There are large differences in different parts of the level of demand for these products as well.

The following gives the unit costs of different plants to produce these products (Table 1) and market retail price data (due to the production and sale of kits requiring additional packaging costs and procurement costs for emulsifiers, decorations and ashtrays, kit costs slightly higher than its total cost of each single piece).

Similarly, different plant machinery and equipment, as well as the level of quality of the staff, resulting in the production of the same product consumption per unit of labor is not the same, the time in which the package also includes the factory transmission time. Specific data are as follows (unit: hour).

In addition, the factory packaging kit also need to spend additional labor and different packaging kit

consumed artificial plants is not the same (different kits consumption is basically the same).

According to data provided by the factory sales department, the next two months will be entered into the peak of this year's demand, the demand for different products in different regions roughly estimated as follows (Table 2 to 4).

It is known that the cost of production (Table 1 unit costs) will rise by 10% each month. For this reason and to consider the plant's production capacity, the plant production department will use inventory ways to resist inflation, which in the first month produce more products that will leave it to the first two months sales. However, such a production and inventory strategies will bring the plant's inventory costs by 5% (5% of production costs per unit of product). Also, due to the high cost of transportation products, factory will not use regional supplier of ways to meet the demand, that is, different regions of products for the needs of the region's markets.

This study will be based on the actual situation currently facing, arranging the plant's production schedule for the past two months (2 months later, the plant is no longer left in finished goods inventory of these products) to obtain the maximum profit.

MATHEMATICAL MODELING

Symbol:

- $X_{i,j,m}$ = "i" the name of products, "j" the production area, "m" the right month
- $p_{i,j}$ = Prices in the products "i" in region "j"
- $c_{i,j,m}$ = The unit cost of product "i" in the region "j" in the month "m"
- $I_{i,j}$ = The "i" product after the first month as the stock number in the region "j"
- $t_{i,j}$ = The district production time of the product "i" in the region "j"
- T_j = The total regional production hours in the region "j"
- $t_{i,j}^0$ = The total work time of packaging products "i" in the region "j"
- T_j^0 = The total work time of packaging products in the region "j"
- $t_{i,j}^1$ = The total packaging time of suit "i" ($i > 6$) time in the region "j"

- T_j^1 = The total work time of packaging suit in the region "j"
- $DB_{i,j}$ = The demand for the lower region of the "i" product in the region "j"
- $UB_{i,j}$ = The needs of the upper region of the "i" product in the region "j"

Target equation:

$$Max \sum_{m=1}^2 \sum_{j=1}^3 \sum_{i=1}^{10} X_{i,j,m} (p_{i,j} - c_{i,j,m}) - \sum_{j=1}^3 \sum_{i=1}^{10} I_{i,j} \cdot 0.05 c_{i,j,1}$$

wherein, $c_{i,j,2}$ is equal to $c_{i,j,1} (1+10\%)$.

Constraints:

Production hours: $\sum_{i=1}^{10} X_{i,j,m} t_{i,j} \leq T_j$

Packaging hours: $\sum_{i=1}^{10} X_{i,j,m} t_{i,j}^0 \leq T_j^0$

Kit packaging hours: $\sum_{i=7}^{10} X_{i,j,m} t_{i,j}^1 \leq T_j^1$

Market forecast: $DB_{i,j} \leq X_{i,j,m} \leq UB_{i,j}$

where, $i = 1, 2, \dots, 10$ $j = 1, 2, 3$ $m = 1, 2$.

```

set products;
set areas;
set months ordered;
param cost {products, areas, months};
param price {products, areas};
param produce_time {products, areas};
param pack_time {products, areas};
param produce_time_avail {areas};
param pack_time_avail {areas};
param unit_work_time {products, areas};
param total_work_time {areas};
param marketdownbound {products, areas};
param marketupbound {products, areas};
var make {products, areas, months} integer >=0;
var inventory {products, areas} >=0;
maximize totalprofit: sum {p in products, a in areas, m in months} make [p, a, m] * (price [p, a] - cost [p, a, m])
    - sum {p in products, a in areas} inventory [p, a] * cost [p, a, first (months)] * 0.05; # maybe we can not use 5%
subject to producetimelimit {a in areas, m in months}:
    sum { p in products} make [p, a, m] * produce_time [p, a] <= produce_time_avail [a];
subject to packtimelimit {a in areas, m in months}:
    sum { p in products} make [p, a, m] * pack_time [p, a] <= pack_time_avail [a];
subject to worktimelimit {a in areas, m in months}:
    sum { p in products} make [p, a, m] * unit_work_time [p, a] <= total_work_time [a];
subject to marketmonthM1 {p in products, a in areas}:
    marketdownbound [p, a] <= make [p, a, first (months)] - inventory [p, a] <= marketupbound [p, a];
subject to marketmonthM2 {p in products, a in areas}:
    marketdownbound [p, a] <= make [p, a, last (months)] + inventory [p, a] <= marketupbound [p, a];
    
```

Fig. 1: AMPL programming template file

```

CPLEX 12.6.0.1: optimal integer solution within mipgap or absmipgap; objective 3032382.15
25 MIP simplex iterations
0 branch-and-bound nodes
absmipgap = 6.89577, relmipgap = 2.27404e-06
make [*,*,monthM1]
:
  areaA  areaB  areaC  :=
productA 10000 11000 20001
productB 14760 16430 12000
productC  5000  4500  4500
productD 10000 12000 10000
productE  4000  3000  4553
productF  1000  1000  1100
productG  2000  2000  2200
productH   200   500   600
productI   500   300   500
productJ  2000  2000  1000

  [*,*,monthM2]
:
  areaA  areaB  areaC  :=
productA 10000 11000 19999
productB 14760 16430 12000
productC  5000  4500  6166
productD 10000 12000 10000
productE  4000  3000  4447
productF  1000  1000  1100
productG  2000  2000  2200
productH   200   500    0
productI   500   300   500
productJ  2000  2000  1000
    
```

Fig. 2: AMPL programming calculations

Table 5: South China factory production quantity

| Product | The factory in east China | | | | |
|---|---------------------------|--------------------|--------------------|---------------------|--------------------------|
| | First month sales | First month inve'y | First month prod'n | Second month prod'n | Second month total sales |
| Special effects floor cleaning solution | 11000 | 0 | 11000 | 11000 | 11000 |
| Efficient dishwashing detergent | 16430 | 0 | 16430 | 16430 | 16430 |
| ZE wash hands dew | 4500 | 0 | 4500 | 4500 | 4500 |
| Bleaching powder | 12000 | 0 | 12000 | 12000 | 12000 |
| Efficient toilet bowl cleaner | 3000 | 0 | 3000 | 3000 | 3000 |
| Air freshener | 1000 | 0 | 1000 | 1000 | 1000 |
| Toilet kit 1 | 2000 | 0 | 2000 | 2000 | 2000 |
| Bedroom suite 2 | 500 | 0 | 500 | 500 | 500 |
| Hall suite 3 | 300 | 0 | 300 | 300 | 300 |
| Kitchen suite 4 | 2000 | 0 | 2000 | 2000 | 2000 |

Table 6: Total factory cost and profit

| | |
|--------------|---------|
| Costs | 6330720 |
| Income | 9363830 |
| Gross profit | 3033110 |

Problem solving: Because of the complexity of the model, AMPL software is used to solve the model. The results are as follows (Fig. 1 and 2, Table 5 and 6).

CONCLUSION

Production planning and scheduling is an important process of the production process in business decision-making, the reasonable making or not directly affect the Economic benefits of companies, we take the food production industry as the main line of this study, using the linear programming theory describes the impact of linear programming function elements and each

variable's change in analysis, which is made use of in the Examples.

Food production industry is one of the most successful areas in using applications of programming, we can see that programming optimization program has matured, it has created enormous economic benefits for the food production industry in the application of the world, China's food production industry should speed up plans to optimize the application itself speed, further narrowing the gap with foreign advanced application level. Programming technologies in the food production industry are getting more and more used program. The system to optimize with other applications are also being built to connect seamlessly from the application of these production operations management staff, laying with the plan to optimize the use of work-related foundation, which is to catch or exceed one of shortcuts in foreign advanced levels.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

This project is supported by NSFC (71071059, 71301054), training plan of Guangdong province outstanding young teachers in higher education institutions (Yq2013009). The Fundamental Research Funds for the Central Universities (2014X2D03).

REFERENCES

- Kiran, A.S. and M.L. Smith, 1984. Simulation studies in job shop scheduling-I a survey. *Comput. Ind. Eng.*, 8(2): 87-93.
- Montazeri, M. and L.N. Van Wassehove, 1990. Analysis of scheduling rules of an FMS. *Int. J. Prod. Res.*, 28(4): 785-802.
- Pinto, J.M. and I.E. Grossman, 1996. Alternate MILP model for short term scheduling of batch plants with pre ordering constraints. *Ind. Eng. Chem. Res.*, 35(1): 338-342.
- Voudouris, V.T. and I.E. Grossman, 1996. MILP model for scheduling and design of a special class of multipurpose batch plants. *Comput. Chem. Eng.*, 20(11): 1335-1360.
- Wu, J., X. He, B. Chen and T. Qiu, 2003. A new continuous-time MILP model for scheduling of multi-product batch plants. *J. Chem. Ind. Eng.*, 54(9): 1251-1256.