# Published: May 05, 2013

## Research Article An EOQ Model with Stock-Dependent Demand under Two Levels of Trade Credit and Time Value of Money

<sup>1</sup>H.A.O. Jia-Qin and <sup>2</sup>M.O. Jiangtao

<sup>1</sup>School of Mathematics and Statistics, Suzhou University, Suzhou, Anhui 234000, China <sup>2</sup>College of Mathematics and Information Science, Guangxi University, Nanning, Guangxi 530004, China

**Abstract:** Since the value of money changes with time, it is necessary to take account of the influence of time factor in making the replenishment policy. In this study, to investigate the influence of the time value of money to the inventory strategy, an inventory system for deteriorating items with stock-dependent demand is investigated under two levels of trade credit. The method to efficiently determine the optimal cycle time is presented. Numerical examples are provided to demonstrate the model and the method.

Keywords: Deteriorating items, EOQ model, stock-dependent demand, time value of money, two levels of trade credit

## INTRODUCTION

In real market to stimulate retailer's ordering qualities the supplier allows a certain fixed permissible delay in payment, which is trade credit, to settle the amount. Similarly, a retailer may offer his/her customs a permissible delay period to settle the outstanding balance when they received a trade credit by the supplier, which is a two-level trade credit. Goyal (1985) developed an EOQ model for permissible delays in payment. Huang (2003) extended Goyal' model (Goyal, 1985) to an inventory model with two levels of trade credit. Chang et al. (2010a) discussed an optimal manufacturer's replenishment policies for deteriorating items. Min et al. (2010) established an EOQ model for deterioration items with a current-stock-dependent demand. Kreng and Tan (2010) proposed to determine the optimal replenishment decisions if the purchasers order quantity is greater than or equal to a predetermined quantity.

In the above inventory models did not consider the effects of the time value of money. All cashes have different values at different points of time. Therefore, it is necessary to take the effect of the time value of money on the inventory policy into consideration. Chang *et al.* (2010b) investigated the DCF approach to establish an inventory model for deteriorating items with trade credit based on the order quantity. Chung and Lin (2011) used the DCF approach for the analysis of the inventory model for trade credit in economic ordering policies of deteriorating items. Chung and Liao (2006, 2009) adopted the DCF approach to discuss the effect of trade credit depending on the ordering

quantity. Liao and Huang (2010) extended the inventory model to consider the factors of two levels of trade credit, deterioration and time discounting.

In this study, we develop an inventory system for deteriorating items and stock-dependent demand is investigated under two-level trade credit and time value of money. The theorem is developed to efficiently determine the optimal cycle time and the present value of total cost for the retailer. Finally, numerical examples and sensitive analysis of major parameters are given to illustrate the theoretical result obtain some managerial insight.

#### NOTATIONS AND ASSUMPTIONS

**Notations:** The following notations are used throughout this study.

- *A* = The ordering cost one order
- c = Unit purchasing cost per item
- p = Unit selling price per item p>c
- *h* = Holding cost per unit time excluding interest charges
- r = The continuous rate of discount
- I(t) = The inventory level at the time of t
- T = The cycle time
- Q = The retailer' order quantity per cycle

**Assumptions:** The assumptions in this study are as follows:

• Time horizon is infinite and the lead time is negligible

Corresponding Author: M.O. Jiangtao, College of Mathematics and Information Science, Guangxi University, Nanning 530004, China

This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License (URL: http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).

- Replenishments are instantaneous and shortage is not allowed
- A constant  $\theta(\theta < \theta < 1)$  fraction of the on-hand inventory deteriorates per unit of time and there is no repair or replacement of the deteriorated inventory
- The demand rate D(t) is a known function of retailer's instantaneous stock level I(t), which is given by D(t) = D +  $\alpha$ I(t), where D and  $\alpha$  are positive constants
- When T≥M, the account is settled at T = Mand the retailer would pay for the interest charges on items in stock with rate I<sub>p</sub> (per \$ per year) over the interval [M, T]; when T≤M, the account is also settled at T = M and the retailer does not need to pay any interest charge of items in stock during the whole cycle
- The retailer can accumulate revenue and earn interest after his/her customer pays for the amount of purchasing cost to the retailer until the end of the trade credit period offered by the supplier. That is, the retailer can accumulate revenue and earn interest during the period N to M with rate I<sub>e</sub> (per \$ per year) under the condition of trade credit
- The fixed credit period offered by the supplier to the retailer is no less to his/her customers, i.e., 0<N ≤M.

#### MATHEMATICAL MODEL

Based on above assumptions, depletion due to demand and deterioration will occur simultaneously. The inventory level of the system can be described by the following differential equation:

$$I'(t) + \theta I(t) = -D - \alpha I(t), \ 0 \le t \le T, \ I(T) = 0$$

The solution to above equation is:

$$I(t) = D\left[e^{(\alpha+\theta)(T-t)} - 1\right] / (\alpha+\theta), \ 0 \le t \le T$$

So the retailer's order size per cycle is:

$$Q = I(0) = D \left[ e^{(\alpha + \theta)T} - 1 \right] / (\alpha + \theta)$$

The present value of all future cash-flow cost  $PV_{\infty}$  (T) consists of the following elements:

- The present value of order cost:  $Vo = A/(1-e^{-rT})$
- The present value of holding cost excluding interest charges:

$$V_{H} = \frac{h}{1 - e^{-rT}} \int_{0}^{T} I(t) e^{-rt} dt = \frac{hD}{\alpha + \theta} \left[ \frac{e^{(\alpha + \theta)T} - e^{-rT}}{(\alpha + \theta + r)(1 - e^{-rT})} - \frac{1}{r} \right];$$

The present value of purchasing cost:

$$V_C = \frac{cQe^{-rM}}{1 - e^{-rT}} = \frac{cDe^{-rM}}{(\alpha + \theta)(1 - e^{-rT})} \left(e^{(\alpha + \theta)T} - 1\right)$$

Additionally, the present values of interest charged and earned are addressed as follows:

### **Case 1:** 0<T≤N.

There is no interest charged, that is  $V_{IPI} = 0$ . In this case, the present value of interest earned is:

$$V_{IE1} = \frac{pI_{e}}{1 - e^{-rT}} \int_{N}^{M} e^{-rT} \int_{0}^{T} D(\mu) d\mu dt$$
  
=  $\frac{pI_{e} D(e^{-rN} - e^{-rM})}{r(\alpha + \theta)^{2} (1 - e^{-rT})} \Big[ \theta(\alpha + \theta) T + \alpha \Big( e^{(\alpha + \theta)T} - 1 \Big) \Big].$ 

Case 2: N<T≤M.

This case is similar case 1, there is no interest charged, that is  $V_{\rm IP2} = 0$  and the present value of interest earned is:

$$\begin{split} V_{IE2} &= \frac{pI_e}{1 - e^{-rT}} \bigg[ \int_N^T e^{-rT} \int_0^t D(\mu) \, d\mu dt + \int_T^M e^{-rT} \int_0^T D(\mu) \, d\mu dt \bigg] \\ &= \frac{pI_e D}{r(\alpha + \theta)(1 - e^{-rT})} \bigg\{ \frac{\theta}{r} \Big[ (1 + rN) e^{-rN} - rT e^{-rM} - e^{-rT} \Big] \\ &+ \frac{\alpha e^{(\alpha + \theta)T}}{\alpha + \theta} \bigg[ e^{-rN} - e^{-rM} - \frac{re^{-(\alpha + \theta + r)N}}{\alpha + \theta + r} \bigg] \\ &+ \frac{\alpha e^{-rM}}{\alpha + \theta} - \frac{\alpha e^{-rT}}{\alpha + \theta + r} \bigg\}. \end{split}$$

Case 3: M < T.

In this case, the present value of interest charged is given by:

$$V_{IP3} = \frac{cI_p}{1 - e^{-rT}} \int_M^T I(t) e^{-rt} dt$$
  
=  $\frac{cI_p D}{(\alpha + \theta)(1 - e^{-rT})} \left[ \frac{e^{(\alpha + \theta)(T - M) - rM} - e^{-rT}}{\alpha + \theta + r} + \frac{e^{-rT} - e^{-rM}}{r} \right]$ 

The present value of interest earned is:

$$\begin{split} V_{IE3} &= \frac{pI_e}{1 - e^{-rT}} \int_N^M e^{-rt} \int_0^t D(\mu) \, d\mu dt \\ &= \frac{pI_e D}{(\alpha + \theta)(1 - e^{-rT})} \bigg\{ \frac{\theta}{r^2} \Big[ (rN+1)e^{-rN} - (rM+1)e^{-rM} \Big] \\ &+ \frac{\alpha e^{(\alpha + \theta)T}}{\alpha + \theta} \Bigg[ \frac{e^{-rN} - e^{-rM}}{r} + \frac{e^{-(\alpha + \theta + r)M} - e^{-(\alpha + \theta + r)N}}{\alpha + \theta + r} \Bigg] \bigg\} \,. \end{split}$$

From the above arguments,  $PV_{\infty}\ (T)$  can be expressed as:

$$PV_{\infty}(T) = \begin{cases} PV_1(T) & 0 < T \le N; \\ PV_2(T) & N < T \le M; \\ PV_3(T) & M < T. \end{cases}$$

where,  $PVj(T) = V_O + V_H + V_C + V_{IPj} - V_{IEj} j = 1, 2, 3$ 

Since 
$$PV_1(N) = PV_2(N)$$
 and  $PV_2(M) = PV_3(M)$ 

 $PV_{\infty}(T)$  is continuous and well-defined.

#### THEORETICAL RESULTS

The objective in this study is to find the replenishment time  $T^*$  to minimize the present value of all future cash-flow cost of the retailer.

**Case 1:** 0<T≤N.

Taking derivative of  $PV_1(T)$  with respect to T, we obtain:

$$PV_{1}'(T) = f_{1}(T)e^{-rT} / (1 - e^{-rT})^{2}.$$
 (1)

where,

$$f_{1}(T) = -r(1 - e^{-rT})PV_{1}(T) + \frac{e^{rT} - 1}{\alpha + \theta + r}D\left\{e^{(\alpha + \theta)T}E - he^{-rT} - \frac{pI_{e}\theta}{r(\alpha + \theta)}(\alpha + \theta + r)(e^{-rN} - e^{-rM})\right\},$$
(2)

$$E = (\alpha + \theta + r)ce^{-rM} + h - \frac{\alpha pI_e(\alpha + \theta + r)}{r(\alpha + \theta)}(e^{-rN} - e^{-rM})$$

And  $f_1(0) = -rA$ .

From (2) we know that

$$f_1'(T) = \left(e^{iT} - 1\right)e^{(\alpha+\theta)T} Dg(T).$$
(3)

where,

$$g(T) = E - \theta p I_e e^{-(\alpha + \theta)T} \left( e^{-rN} - e^{-rM} \right) / (\alpha + \theta).$$
(4)

**Lemma 1:** Let  $T_1^*$  is the minimum point of  $PV_1(T)$  on (0, N].

- When  $g(N)\geq 0$ , if  $f_1(N)<0$ ,  $T_1^* = N$ ; else,  $T_1^* = T_1^0$ , where  $T_1^0$  is the unique solution of  $f^1(T) = 0$  on (0, N]
- When  $g(N) < 0, T_1^* = N$

**Proof:** since  $g'(T) = pI_e \theta(e^{-rN} - e^{-rM})e^{-(\alpha+\theta)T} \ge 0$ , we know g(T) is increasing on (0, N].

- If g(N)≥0, (i) when g(0)≥0, we have g(T)≥0, that is,  $f'_1(T)<0$ . If  $f_1(N)<0$ , then we have  $f_1(T)<0$  and from (1) we obtain  $T^*_1 = N$ ; else, there is the unique  $T^0_1 \in (0, N]$  which satisfies  $f_1(T) = 0$ . Furthermore, we have  $f_1(T) \le 0$  for  $T \in (0, T^0_1]$  and  $f_1(T)>0$  for  $T \in (T^0_1, N]$  and from (1) we obtain  $T^*_1 = T^0_1$ ; (ii) when g(0)<0, g(T) = 0 has a unique root (say  $T^{\#}_1$ ) on (0, N] and g(T)≤0 for  $T \in (0, T^{\#}_1]$ , g(T) >0 for  $T \in (T^{\#}_1, N]$ . Similar (i), we obtain if  $f_1(N)<$ 0, then  $f_1(N)<0$  for  $T \in (T^{\#}_1, N]$ ; else,  $f_1(T) \le 0$  for  $T \in (T^{\#}_1, T^0_1]$  and  $f_1(T)>0$  for  $T \in (T^0_1, N]$ , Additionally,  $f_1(T)$  is decreasing on (0,  $T^{\#}_1]$ , so we get the same result as (i).
- When g(N)<0, we have g(T)<0, that is f<sub>1</sub>' (T)<0. Since f<sub>1</sub>(0)<0, we have f<sub>1</sub>(T)<0 for T∈(0,N] and from (1) we obtain T<sup>\*</sup><sub>1</sub> = N.

#### Case 2: $N \le T \le M$ .

Taking derivation of  $PV_2(T)$  with respect to T, we have

$$PV_{2}'(T) = f_{2}(T)e^{-rT} / (1 - e^{-rT})^{2}.$$
 (5)

where,

$$f_{2}(T) = \frac{e^{rT} - 1}{\alpha + \theta + r} D \left[ \frac{\alpha p I_{e}}{\alpha + \theta} \left( e^{(\alpha + \theta)(T - N) - rN} - e^{-rT} \right) + e^{(\alpha + \theta)T} E - h e^{-rT} + \frac{\theta p I_{e}}{r(\alpha + \theta)} (\alpha + \theta + r) \left( e^{-rM} - e^{-rT} \right) \right] - r(1 - e^{-rT}) P V_{2}(T).$$
(6)

From (6) we have

$$f_2'(T) = \left(e^{rT} - 1\right) D e^{(\alpha+\theta)T} h(T).$$

$$\tag{7}$$

where,

$$h(T) = E + pI_e \left[ \theta e^{-(\alpha+\theta)T - rM} + \alpha e^{-(\alpha+\theta+r)N} \right] / (\alpha+\theta).$$
(8)

**Lemma 2:** Let  $T_2^*$  is the minimum point of  $PV_2(T)$  on [N, M].

- If  $h(M) \ge 0$ , (i) when  $f_2(N) \ge 0$ ,  $T_2^* = N$ ; (ii) when  $f_2(N) < 0$ ,  $T_2^* = T_2^0$  if  $f_2(M) \ge 0$ ; else,  $T_2^* = M$ , where  $T_2^0$  is the unique solution of  $f_2(T) = 0$  on [N, M];
- If h (N) $\geq 0 > (M)$ , (i) when  $f_2(T^{\#}_2) < 0$ ,  $T^{*}_2 = M$ ; (ii)when  $f_2(T^{\#}_2) \ge 0$  PV<sub>2</sub>( $T^{*}_2$ ) = min {PV<sub>2</sub>(N), PV<sub>2</sub>(M)}, if  $f_2(N) \ge 0$ ; else, when  $f_2(M) \ge 0$ , then  $T^{*}_2$ =  $T^{0}_2$ , where  $T^{0}_2$  is the unique solution of  $f_2(T) \ge =$ 0 on [N, M]; when  $f_2(M) < 0$ , PV<sub>2</sub>( $T^{*}_2$ ) = min {PV<sub>2</sub>( $T^{0}_2$ ), PV<sub>2</sub>(M)}, where  $T^{0}_2$  is the smallest solution of  $f_2(T) = 0$  on [N, M].

• If h (N)<0, then  $PV_2(T_2^*) = \min \{PV_2(N), PV_2(M)\}$ , where  $T_2^{\#}$  is unique solution of h(T) = 0 on [N, M].

**Proof:** Since  $h'(T) = -\theta p I_e e^{-(\alpha+\theta)T-rM} < 0$ , we know h(T) is decreasing on [N, M].

- If h(M)≥0, then we have h(T)≥0, that is, f'<sub>2</sub>(T) ≤0, (i) When f<sub>2</sub>(N)≥0, we know f<sub>2</sub>(T)≥0 for T∈(N,M],. From (5) we obtain T<sup>\*</sup><sub>2</sub>= N; (ii) when f<sub>2</sub>(N)<0 f<sub>2</sub>(M), there exists a unique root T<sup>0</sup><sub>2</sub>∈(N,M], to f<sub>2</sub>(T) = 0 and f<sub>2</sub>(T)≤0 ofor T∈(N, T<sup>0</sup><sub>2</sub>], f<sub>2</sub>(T)>0, for T∈(T<sup>3</sup><sub>2</sub>, M]. From (5) we obtain T<sup>\*</sup><sub>2</sub> = T<sup>0</sup><sub>2</sub>; (iii) when f<sub>2</sub>(T)<0, we have f<sub>2</sub>(T)<0 for T∈(N, M], and from (5) we obtain T<sup>\*</sup><sub>2</sub> = M.
- When h(N)≥0>h (M), h(T) = 0has a unique root(say T<sup>#</sup><sub>2</sub>) and h(T)≥0 for [N, T<sup>#</sup><sub>2</sub>], h(T)<0 for T∈(T<sup>#</sup><sub>2</sub>, M], and from (7) we obtain f<sub>2</sub>(T) is increasing on [N, T<sup>#</sup><sub>2</sub>] and decreasing on (T<sup>#</sup><sub>2</sub>, M. (i) If f<sub>2</sub>(T<sup>#</sup><sub>2</sub>)<0, then we have f<sub>2</sub>(T)<0 for T∈(N, M]. From (5) we obtain T<sup>\*</sup><sub>2</sub> = M; (ii) If, when f<sub>2</sub>(N)≥0, if f<sub>2</sub>(M)≥0, then we have f<sub>2</sub>(T)≥0 for T∈(N,M], and from (5) we obtain T<sup>\*</sup><sub>1</sub> = N; else, the equation f<sub>2</sub>(T) = 0 has a unique root (say T<sup>1</sup><sub>2</sub>) on [T<sup>#</sup><sub>2</sub>, M] and f<sub>2</sub>(T)≥0 for T∈(T<sup>#</sup><sub>2</sub>, T<sup>1</sup><sub>2</sub>], f<sub>2</sub>(T)<0 for T∈(T<sup>1</sup><sub>2</sub>, M] and f<sub>2</sub>(T)≥0 when T∈[N, T<sup>1</sup><sub>2</sub>], f<sub>2</sub>(T)<0 when T∈[N, T<sup>#</sup><sub>2</sub>], so f<sub>2</sub>(T)≥0 when T∈[N, T<sup>1</sup><sub>2</sub>], f<sub>2</sub>(T)<0 when T∈(T<sup>1</sup><sub>2</sub>, M] and from (5) we obtain PV<sub>2</sub>(T<sup>\*</sup><sub>2</sub>) = min {PV<sub>2</sub>(N), PV<sub>2</sub>(M)}.

When  $f_2(N)<0$ , the equation  $f_2(T) = 0$  has a unique root (say  $T_2^0$ ) on  $[N, T_2^{#}]$ . If  $f_2(M)\ge 0$ , then we have  $f_2(T)\ge 0$  for  $T\in(T_2^{#}, M]$ , . Similarly, we obtain  $T_2^*$  $= T_2^0$ ; If  $f_2(M)<0$ , then there exists a unique solution  $T_2^2 \in (T_2^{#}, M]$  and  $f_2(T)\ge 0$  for  $T\in(T_2^{#}, T_2^{-}]$ ,  $f_2(T)<0$  for  $T\in(T_2^{-}, M]$ , From (5) we obtain  $PV_2(T_2^{-})$  min  $\{PV_2(T_2^{#}), PV_2(M), when T\in(T_2^{#}, M]$ , since  $PV_2(T_2^{0}) \le PV_2(T_2^{-})$ , so  $PV_2(T_2^{0})$  min  $\{PV_2(T_2^{0}), PV_2(M)\}$  where  $T_2^0$  is the smallest solution of  $f_2(T) = 0$  on [N, M]

• When h(N)<0, we have h(T)<0. From (7) we know  $f_2(T)<0$  for  $T\in[N, M]$ . (i) If  $f_2(T)\geq0$ , then  $f_2(T)\geq0$  for  $T\in[N, M]$  and from (5) we obtain  $T^*_2 = N$ ; (ii) If  $f_2(M)<0\leq f_2(N)$ , then  $f_2(T) = 0$  has a unique root  $T^3_2$  on[N, M] and  $f_2(T)\geq0$  when  $T\in(N, T^3_2]$ ,  $f_2(T) < 0$  when  $T\in(T^3_2, M]$  and from (5) we have  $PV_2(T^*_2) = \min \{PV_2(N), PV_2(M)\}$ ; (iii) If  $f_2(N)<0$ , then we have  $f_2(T)\leq0$  for  $T\in[N,M]$  and from (5) we have  $T^*2 = M$ .

## Case 3: M≤T.

Taking derivative of  $PV_3(T)$  with respect to T, we obtain:

$$PV'_{3}(T) = f_{3}(T)e^{-rT} / (1 - e^{-rT})^{2}.$$
 (9)

where,

$$f_{3}(T) = -r(1 - e^{-rT})PV_{3}(T)\frac{\left(e^{rT} - 1\right)D}{\alpha + \theta + r} \left[e^{(\alpha + \theta)T}E + cI_{\rho}e^{(\alpha + \theta)(T - M) - rM} - e^{-rT}\left(h + cI_{\rho}\right) + \frac{\alpha pI_{e}}{\alpha + \theta}e^{(\alpha + \theta)T}\left(e^{-(\alpha + \theta + r)N} - e^{-(\alpha + \theta + r)M}\right)\right].$$
(10)

From (10) we know that:

$$f'_{3}(T) = \left(e^{rT} - 1\right) D e^{(\alpha+\theta)T} K.$$
(11)

where,

$$K = E + cI_{p}e^{-(\alpha+\theta+r)M} + \frac{\alpha pI_{e}}{\alpha+\theta} \left(e^{-(\alpha+\theta+r)N} - e^{-(\alpha+\theta+r)M}\right) \cdot (12)$$

**Lemma 3:** Let  $T_3^*$  is the minimum point of PV<sub>3</sub>(T) on  $[M, +\infty)$ 

- When K $\ge 0$ ,  $T_3^* = M$  if  $f_2(M) \ge 0$ ; else,  $T_3^* = T_3^0$ , where  $T_3^0$  is the unique solution of  $f_3(T) = 0$  on  $[M, +\infty)$
- (b) When K<0,  $PV_3(T_3^*) = \min \{PV_3(M), \lim_{T \to \infty} PV_3(T)\}$

#### **Proof:**

- When K≥0, we have  $\lim_{T\to\infty} f_3(T) = +\infty$  and  $\hat{f}_3(T) \ge 0$  for T∈(M, + $\infty$ ); (i) If f<sub>3</sub>(M)≥0, then for T∈(M, + $\infty$ ], and from (9) we obtain T<sup>\*</sup><sub>3</sub> = M; (ii) If f<sub>3</sub>(M)<0, then f<sub>3</sub>(T) = 0 has a unique root T<sup>0</sup><sub>3</sub>on [M, + $\infty$ ) and f<sub>3</sub>(T)≤0 for T∈[M, T<sup>0</sup><sub>3</sub>], f<sub>3</sub>(T)>0 for T∈(T<sup>0</sup><sub>3</sub>,  $\infty$ ) and from (9) we obtain T<sup>\*</sup><sub>3</sub> = T<sup>0</sup><sub>3</sub>.
- When K<0, we have  $\lim_{T \to +\infty} f_3(T) = -\infty$  and  $\hat{f}_3(T)<0$ , (i) If  $f_3(M) \ge 0$ , then there is a unique root  $T^1_3$  on  $[M, +\infty)$  and  $f_3(T)\ge 0$  for  $T\in(M, T^1_3]$ ,  $f_3(T)<0$ for  $T\in(T^1_3 +\infty)$  and from (9) we obtain  $PV_3(T_3^*) = \min\{PV_3(M), \lim_{T \to +\infty} PV_3(T)\}$ ; (ii) If  $f_3(M)<0$ , then  $f_3(T)<0$  for  $T\in[M, +\infty]$  and from (9) we obtain  $PV_3(T_3^*) = \lim_{T \to +\infty} PV_3(T)$ .

From lemmas 1-3, we have the following result.

**Theorem 1:** The optimal cycle time  $T^*$  and the present value of all future cash-flow cost  $PV_{\infty}(T^*)$  will be determined by the following:

$$PV_{\infty}(T^{*}) = \min\{PV_{1}(T_{1}^{*}), PV_{2}(T_{2}^{*}), PV_{3}(T_{3}^{*})\}$$

Table 1: r = 0.08, the impact of change of M and N on T\*and  $PV_{cr}(T^*)$ 

|      | 1, (1) |             |               |                     |                      |
|------|--------|-------------|---------------|---------------------|----------------------|
| М    | Ν      | $PV_1(T_1)$ | $PV_2(T_2^*)$ | $PV_{3}(T_{3}^{*})$ | T*                   |
| 0.30 | 0.10   | 18696       | 15398         | 15327               | $T_{3}^{*}=0.3657$   |
|      | 0.20   | 16060       | 15445         | 15366               | $T_{3}^{*} = 0.3691$ |
|      | 0.30   | 15521       | 15521         | 15429               | $T_{3}^{*}=0.3747$   |
| 0.40 | 0.10   | 18509       | 15130         | 15142               | $T_2^* = 0.3680$     |
|      | 0.20   | 15870       | 15168         | 15178               | $T_{2}^{*}=0.3715$   |
|      | 0.30   | 15327       | 15231         | 15237               | $T_{2}^{*}=0.3771$   |
| 0.5  | 0.10   | 18325       | 14934         | 15102               | $T_{2}^{*}=0.3696$   |
|      | 0.20   | 15681       | 14973         | 15132               | $T_{2}^{*}=0.3730$   |
|      | 0.30   | 15135       | 15036         | 15181               | $T_{2}^{*} = 0.3787$ |



Fig. 1: The impact of change of r on T\*



Fig. 2: The impact of change of ron  $PV_{\infty}(T^*)$ 

## NUMERICAL EXAMPLLES

To illustrate the results obtained in this study, we provide the following numerical examples.

Let A = \$50/order, D = 200 unit/year, c = \$5/unit,  $\alpha$  = 0.3,  $\theta$  = 0.05, Ip = 0.08/\$/year, p = \$7/unit, Ie = 0.05/ \$/year

In Table 1, we study the effects of change of parameters M and N on  $T^*$  and  $PV_{\infty}(T^*)$ .

The following inferences can be made based on Table 1:

For fixed other parameters, the larger the value of M, the smaller the value of PV<sub>∞</sub>(T<sup>\*</sup>) and larger the value of T<sup>\*</sup>.



Fig. 3: The impact of change of r on  $K_1$  and  $K_2$ 

For fixed other parameters, the larger the value of N, the larger the values of PV<sub>∞</sub>(T<sup>\*</sup>) and T<sup>\*</sup>.

Figure 1 to 2 show when M = 0.3 year and N = 0.1year, the relative change of  $T^*$ ,  $PV_{\infty}(T^*)$ , relative ratios  $K_1$  and  $K_2$  (where PV\* and  $T^*$  are the value of optimal present value of all future cash-flow cost and the value of the optimal cycle time when r = 1,  $K1 = [PV_{\infty}(T^*)-PV_*]/PV_{\infty}(T^*)$  and  $K_2 = (T^* - T_*)/T^*)$ . when the parameter of the discount rate r is changed from (0, 1].

The following inferences can be made based on Fig. 1 to 3:

- For fixed other parameters, the larger the value of r, the smaller the values of T\* and  $PV_{\infty}(T^*)$ .
- For fixed other parameters, the larger the value of r, the smaller the relative ratios K<sub>1</sub> and K<sub>2</sub>.

### CONCLUSION

In this study, an inventory model for deteriorating items with two-level trade credit is established by DCF approach. We assumed that the demand is stockdependent and the retailer pays for the purchasing cost to the supplier until the end of the trade credit period. By analyzing the present value of all future cash-flow cost, we developed theoretical results to obtain optimal solutions. Finally, we provided numerical examples to illustrate the proposed model and conducted a sensitive analysis of key parameters.

In regards to future research, one could consider incorporating more realistic assumptions into the model, such as the demand dependents the selling price, trade credit links to order quantity, etc.

## ACKNOWLEDGMENT

This study is supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (No: 71261002 and No: 11161003).

#### REFERENCES

- Chang, C.T., L.Y. Ouyang, J.T. Teng and M.C. Cheng, 2010a. Optimal ordering policies for deteriorating items using a discounted cash-flow analysis when a trade credit is linked to order quantity. Comput. Ind. Eng., 59: 770-777.
- Chang, C.T., J.T. Teng and M.S. Chern, 2010b. Optimal manufacturer's replenishment policies for deteriorating items in a supply chain with upstream and down-stream trade credits. Int. J. Prod. Econ., 127: 197-202.
- Chung, K.J. and J.J. Liao, 2006. The optimal ordering policy in a DCF analysis for deteriorating items when trade credit depends on the order quantity. Int. J. Prod. Econ., 100: 116-130.
- Chung, K.J. and J.J. Liao, 2009. The optimal ordering policy of the EOQ model under trade credit depending on the ordering quantity from the DCF approach. Eur. J. Oper. Res., 196: 563-568.

- Chung, K.J. and S.D. Lin, 2011. The inventory model for trade credit in economic ordering policies of deteriorating items in a supply chain system. Appl. Math. Model., 35: 3111-3115.
- Goyal, S.K., 1985. Economic order quantity under conditions of permissible delay in payments. J. Oper. Res. Soc., 36: 335-338.
- Huang, Y.F., 2003. Optimal retailer's ordering policies in the EOQ model under trade credit financing. J. Oper. Res. Soc., 54: 1011-1015.
- Kreng, V.B. and S.J. Tan, 2010. The optimal replenishment decisions under two levels of trade credit policy depending on the order quantity. Expert Syst. Appl., 37: 5514-5522.
- Liao, J.J. and K.N. Huang, 2010. An inventory model for deteriorating items with two levels of trade credit taking account of time discounting. Acta Appl. Math., 110: 313-326.
- Min, J., Y. Zhou and J. Zhao, 2010. An inventory model for deteriorating items under stockdependent demand and two-level trade credit. Appl. Math. Model., 34: 3273-3285.