

Research Article

Neighborhoods of Certain Classes of Generalized Ruscheweyh Type Analytic Functions of Complex Order

¹Jamal Kazemi Asl and ²Hesam Mahzoon

¹Payame Noor University, Bandar Imam Khomeini, Iran

²Department of Mathematics, Firoozkooh Branch, Islamic Azad University, Firoozkooh, Iran

Abstract: In this study, we introduce and study the (n, δ) neighborhoods of subclasses $S_n(\gamma, \lambda, \beta, \eta)$, $R_n(\gamma, \lambda, \beta, \mu, \eta)$, $S_n^\alpha(\gamma, \lambda, \beta, \mu, \eta)$ and $R_n^\alpha(\gamma, \lambda, \beta, \mu, \eta)$ of the class $A(n)$ of normalized analytic functions in $U = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| < 1\}$ with negative coefficients, which are defined by using of the generalized Ruscheweyh derivative operator.

Keywords: Analytic functions, convex functions, neighborhoods generalized ruscheweyh derivative, Star like functions, univalent functions

INTRODUCTION

Let $A(n)$ denote the class of functions f of the form:

$$f(z) = - \sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} \alpha_k z^k \quad (\alpha_k \geq 0, k \in \mathbb{N} = \{1, 2, \dots\}) \tag{1}$$

which are analytic in the open unit disk:

$$U = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| < 1\}$$

For any function $f \in A(n)$ and $\delta \geq 0$, we define:

$$N_{n,\delta}(f) = \left\{ g \in A(n) : g(z) = z - \sum_{k=k+1}^{\infty} b_k z^k \text{ and } \sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} k | \alpha_k - b_k | \leq \delta \right\} \tag{2}$$

which is the (n, δ) neighborhood of f .

For the identity function $e(z) = z$, we have:

$$N_{n,\delta}(e) = \left\{ g \in A(n) : g(z) = z - \sum_{k=k+1}^{\infty} b_k z^k \text{ and } \sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} k | b_k | \leq \delta \right\} \tag{3}$$

The concept of neighborhoods was first introduced by Goodman (1983) and then generalized by Ruscheweyh (1981).

A function $f \in A$ is star like of complex order $\gamma (\gamma \in \mathbb{C} / \{0\})$ that is, $f \in C_n(\gamma)$ if:

$$R \left\{ 1 + \frac{1}{\gamma} \left[\frac{z f'(z)}{f(z)} - 1 \right] \right\} > 0 \quad (z \in U, \gamma \in \mathbb{C} / \{0\}) \tag{4}$$

A function $f \in A(n)$ is said to be convex of complex order $\gamma (\gamma \in \mathbb{C} / \{0\})$ that is, $f \in C_n(\gamma)$ if:

$$R \left\{ 1 + \frac{1}{\gamma} \frac{z f''(z)}{f'(z)} \right\} > 0 \quad (z \in U, \gamma \in \mathbb{C} / \{0\}) \tag{5}$$

The classes $S_n^*(\gamma)$ and $C_n(\gamma)$ stem essentially from the classes of starlike and convex functions of complex orders which were introduced earlier by Nasr and Aouf (1985). The Hadamard product of two power series:

$$f(z) = z + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \alpha_k z^k \text{ and } g(z) = z + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} b_k z^k$$

is defined as $(f * g)(z) = z + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \alpha_k b_k z^k$.

The main objective of this study is to use a recent generalization of the Ruscheweyh derivative operator (Al-Shaqsi and Darus, 2007) denoted D_{η}^{λ} , define as follows:

$$D_{\eta}^{\lambda} f(z) = \frac{z}{(1-z)^{1+\lambda}} * D_{\eta} f(z) \quad (f \in A(n), z \in U)$$

where, $*$ stands for the Hadamard product of two power series. Further, we have:

$$D_{\eta} f(z) = (1-\eta)f(z) + \eta z f'(z), \lambda > -1, \eta \geq 0, z \in U.$$

We can easily see that D_{η}^{λ} admits a representation of the form:

$$D_{\eta}^{\lambda} f(z) = z - \sum_{k=k+1}^{\infty} [1 + (k-1)\eta] \binom{\lambda+k-1}{k-1} \alpha_k z^k \quad (\lambda > -1, f \in A(n), \eta \geq 0) \tag{6}$$

Make use of the following standard notation:

$$\binom{K}{k} = \frac{K(K-1)(K-2)\dots(K-k+1)}{k!} \quad (K \in \mathbb{C}, k \in \mathbb{N})$$

A function $f \in A(n)$ is said to belong to the class $S_n(\gamma, \lambda, \beta, \eta)$ if:

$$\left| \frac{1}{\gamma} \left(\frac{z(D_n^\lambda f(z))'}{D_n^\lambda f} - 1 \right) \right| < \beta, \tag{7}$$

where, $\lambda \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}, \lambda > -1, 0 < \beta \leq 1, \eta \geq 0$ and $z \in U$.

A function $f \in A(n)$ is said to belong to the class $R_n(\gamma, \lambda, \beta, \mu, \eta)$ if:

$$\left| \frac{1}{\gamma} \left((1-\mu) \frac{D_n^\lambda f(z)}{z} + \mu (D_n^\lambda f(z))' - 1 \right) \right| < \beta, \tag{8}$$

where, $\lambda \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}, 0 < \beta \leq 1, \eta \geq 0, \lambda > -1$ and $z \in U$.

Neighborhoods for classes: $S_n(\gamma, \lambda, \beta, \eta)$ and $R_n(\gamma, \lambda, \beta, \mu, \eta)$

Lemma 1: A function $f \in S_n^\alpha(\gamma, \lambda, \beta, \eta)$ if and only if:

$$\sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} [1 + (k-1)\eta] \binom{\lambda+k-1}{k-1} (\beta|\gamma| + k-1) \alpha_k \leq \beta|\gamma|. \tag{9}$$

Proof: Let $f \in S_n(\gamma, \lambda, \beta, \eta)$ Then, by (6) we can write:

$$R \left\{ \frac{z[(D_n^\lambda f(z))']}{D_n^\lambda f(z)} - 1 \right\} > -\beta|\gamma| \quad (z \in U). \tag{10}$$

Equivalently:

$$R \left[\frac{\sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} [1 + (k-1)\eta] \binom{\lambda+k-1}{k-1} (k-1) \alpha_k z^k}{z - \sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} [1 + (k-1)\eta] \binom{\lambda+k-1}{k-1} \alpha_k z^k} \right] > -\beta|\gamma| \quad (z \in U). \tag{11}$$

We choose values of Z on the real axis and let $Z \rightarrow -1^-$, through real values, the inequality (11) yields the desired condition (9). Conversely, by applying the hypothesis (2.1) and letting $|Z| = 1$ we have:

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \frac{z(D_n^\lambda f(z))'}{D_n^\lambda f(z)} - 1 \right| &= \left| \frac{\sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} [1 + (k-1)\eta] \binom{\lambda+k-1}{k-1} (k-1) \alpha_k z^k}{z - \sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} [1 + (k-1)\eta] \binom{\lambda+k-1}{k-1} \alpha_k z^k} \right| \\ &\leq \frac{\beta|\gamma| \left(1 - \sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} [1 + (k-1)\eta] \binom{\lambda+k-1}{k-1} \alpha_k \right)}{1 - \sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} [1 + (k-1)\eta] \binom{\lambda+k-1}{k-1} \alpha_k} \\ &\leq \beta|\gamma| \end{aligned}$$

Hence, by the maximum modulus Theorem, we have $f \in R_n(\gamma, \lambda, \beta, \eta)$ Similarly, we can prove the following Lemma.

Lemma 2: A function $f \in R_n(\gamma, \lambda, \beta, \mu, \eta)$ if and only if:

$$\sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} [1 + (k-1)\eta] \binom{\lambda+k-1}{k-1} [\mu(k-1) + 1] \alpha_k \leq \beta|\gamma|. \tag{12}$$

Theorem 1: if:

$$\delta = \frac{(n+1)\beta|\gamma|}{(\beta|\gamma| + n)(1+n\eta) \binom{\lambda+n}{n}}, \quad (|\gamma| < 1), \tag{13}$$

Then, $S_n(\gamma, \lambda, \beta, \eta) \cap N_{n,\delta}(e)$

Proof: Let $f \in S_n(\gamma, \lambda, \beta, \eta)$. By lemma 2, we have,

$$(\beta|\gamma| + n)(1+n\eta) \binom{\lambda+n}{n} \sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} \alpha_k \leq \beta|\gamma|$$

So,

$$\sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} \alpha_k \leq \frac{\beta|\gamma|}{(\beta|\gamma| + n)(1+n\eta) \binom{\lambda+n}{n}} \tag{14}$$

Using (9) and (14), we have:

$$\begin{aligned} (1+n\eta) \binom{\lambda+n}{n} \sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} k \alpha_k &\leq \beta|\gamma| + (1-\beta|\gamma|)(1+n\eta) \binom{\lambda+n}{n} \sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} \alpha_k \\ &\leq \beta|\gamma| + (1-\beta|\gamma|)(1+n\eta) \binom{\lambda+n}{n} \\ &\quad \frac{\beta|\gamma|}{(\beta|\gamma| + n)(1+n\eta) \binom{\lambda+n}{n}} \\ &\leq \frac{(n+1)\beta|\gamma|}{(\beta|\gamma| + n)} \quad (|\gamma| < 1), \end{aligned}$$

That is:

$$\sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} k \alpha_k \leq \frac{(n+1)\beta|\gamma|}{(\beta|\gamma| + n)(1+n\eta) \binom{\lambda+n}{n}} = \delta.$$

Thus, by the definition given by (3), $f \in N_{n,\delta}(e)$.

Theorem 2: if:

$$\delta = \frac{(n+1)\beta|\gamma|}{(\mu n + 1)(1+n\eta) \binom{\lambda+n}{n}} \tag{15}$$

Then, $R_n(\gamma, \lambda, \beta, \mu, \eta) \subset N_{n,\delta}(e)$.

Proof: Let $f \in R_n(\gamma, \lambda, \beta, \mu, \eta)$. Then, by lemma 2, we have:

$$(\mu n + 1)(1+n\eta) \binom{\lambda+n}{n} \sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} \alpha_k \leq \beta|\gamma|,$$

Which yields the following coefficient inequality:

$$\sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} \alpha_k \leq \frac{\beta|\gamma|}{(\mu n + 1)(1 + n\eta) \binom{\lambda + n}{n}} \quad (16)$$

Using (12) and (16), we also have:

$$\begin{aligned} \mu(1 + n\eta) \binom{\lambda + n}{n} \sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} k \alpha_k &\leq \beta|\gamma| + (\mu - 1)(1 + n\eta) \binom{\eta + n}{n} \sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} \alpha_k \\ &\leq \beta|\gamma| + (\mu - 1)(1 + n\eta) \binom{\eta + n}{n} \\ &\quad \frac{\beta|\gamma|}{(\mu n + 1)(1 + n\eta) \binom{\lambda + n}{n}}, \end{aligned}$$

That is:

$$\sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} k \alpha_k \leq \frac{(n + 1)\beta|\gamma|}{(\mu n + 1)(1 + n\eta) \binom{\lambda + n}{n}} = \delta.$$

Thus, by the definition given by (3), $f \in N_{n, \delta}(e)$

Neighborhoods for classes: $S_n^\alpha(\gamma, \lambda, \beta, \eta)$ and $R_n^\alpha(\gamma, \lambda, \beta, \mu, \eta)$

In this section, we define the neighborhood for each of the classes $S_n^\alpha(\gamma, \lambda, \beta, \eta)$ and $S_n^\alpha(\gamma, \lambda, \beta, \mu, \eta)$. A function $f \in A(n)$ is said to be in the class $S_n^\alpha(\gamma, \lambda, \beta, \eta)$ if there exists a function $g \in S_n(\gamma, \lambda, \beta, \eta)$ such that

$$\left| \frac{f(z)}{g(z)} - 1 \right| < 1 - \alpha \quad (0 \leq \alpha \leq 1, z \in U). \quad (17)$$

Similarly, a function $f \in A(n)$ is said to be in the class $R_n^\alpha(\gamma, \lambda, \beta, \mu, \eta)$ if there exists a function $g \in R_n^\alpha(\gamma, \lambda, \beta, \mu, \eta)$ such that the inequality (17) holds true.

Theorem 3: If $g \in S_n(\gamma, \lambda, \beta, \mu, \eta)$ and:

$$\alpha = 1 - \frac{(\beta|\gamma| + n)\delta(1 + n\eta) \binom{\lambda + n}{n}}{(n + 1) \left[(\beta|\gamma| + n)(1 + n\eta) \binom{\lambda + n}{n} - \beta|\gamma| \right]} \quad (18)$$

Then $N_{n, \delta}(g) \subset S_n^\alpha(\gamma, \lambda, \beta, \mu, \eta)$.

Proof: Let $f \in N_{n, \delta}(g)$ then, by (2), we have:

$$\sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} k |\alpha_k - b_k| \leq \delta, \quad (19)$$

Which yields that coefficient inequality:

$$\sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} |\alpha_k - b_k| \leq \frac{\delta}{n+1} \quad (n \in \mathbb{N}) \quad (20)$$

Since $g \in R_n^\alpha(\gamma, \lambda, \beta, \mu, \eta)$ by (14), we have:

$$\sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} b_k \leq \frac{\beta|\gamma|}{(\beta|\gamma| + n)(1 + n\eta) \binom{\lambda + n}{n}} \quad (21)$$

So that:

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \frac{f(z)}{g(z)} - 1 \right| &< \frac{\sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} |\alpha_k - b_k|}{1 - \sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} b_k} \\ &\leq \frac{\delta}{(n + 1) \left[(\beta|\gamma| + n)(1 + n\eta) \binom{\lambda + n}{n} - \beta|\gamma| \right]} \\ &= 1 - \alpha. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, by definition, $f \in S_n^\alpha(\gamma, \lambda, \beta, \eta)$ for α given by (18). Thus, the proof is complete.

REFERENCES

- Al-Shaqsi, K. and M. Darus, 2007. On certain subclass of analytic univalent functions with negative coefficients. *Appl. Math. Sci.*, 13: 1121-1128.
- Goodman, A.W., 1983. *Univalent Functions. Volume I and II*, Mariner Publishing Company Inc., Tampa, Florida.
- Nasr, M.A. and M.K. Aouf, 1985. Starlike function of complex order. *J. Natur. Sci. Math.*, 25: 1-12.
- Ruscheweyh, S., 1981. Neighborhoods of univalent functions. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 81: 521-527.