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#### Abstract

Linear orthomorphism has the well diffusibility, which can be used to design P-permutation in cryptography. This paper presents the definition of the linear orthomorphisms and orthomorphic matrices on the integer residue class ring, whose counting formulas have been obtained too. They have provided a theoretical basis to research the nature of orthomorphisms on the ring in the cryptography.


Keywords: Linear orthomorphisms, orthomorphic matrix, the integer residue class ring, the orthomorphisms

## INTRODUCTION

Shannon (1994) the well-known communications experts, has pointed out that the main idea of the cipher design is the diffusion and confusion. From the mathematical point of view, the combination of the linear and nonlinear permutations has a good role on diffusion and confusion. Complete mappings is widely used because of the good cryptographic properties, they have been proposed and studied as early as 1942 in Mann (1943) and shortly thereafter, they have been researched from the perspective of the algebraic geometry and group theory in Hall and Paige (1957). Then, the complete mappings have been widely used at the block design, statistical analysis, the areas of channel coding and so on. The orthomorphisms and Omni directional permutations are two important kinds of complete mappings. The concept of orthomorphisms was firstly formally presented in Jin-Ping and ShuWang (2006) for the study the orthogonal of Latin squares. Dr. L. (1995), in U.S., Mittenthal of TET (Teledyne Electronic Technology) has first studied orthomorphisms from the cryptography (Lohrop, 1995) and he also proved that the orthomorphisms over GF $\left(2^{\mathrm{n}}\right)$ have a good cryptographic property: completely balanced. The orthomorphisms over GF ( $2^{\mathrm{n}}$ ) have been also used on the design cipher, the digital signature and authentication algorithms. The commercial block cipher SMS4 has been designed on the round function based on the nonlinear orthomorphism (Shuwang et al., 2008). In addition to the commercial cipher, the orthomorphisms have the other related applications, such as:

- Teledyne Electronic Technology has researched and developed the cipher products DSD used orthomorphisms (Lohrop, 1995).
- In Qibin and Ken Cheng (1996) the linear orthomorphisms have been used to enhance the cipher security and improve cryptography properties.
- In Dawu et al. (1999) the orthomorphisms have been constructed Boolean functions, which meet the balance; algebraic degree is not less than 3 .

The aspects of the nonlinearity, linear structure, the infusibility have good character.

In general, the orthomorphisms are classified into the linear and the nonlinear two kinds to study. This study focuses on the linear orthomorphisms over the ring $Z_{n}$.

The counting formula and generation algorithm (Yong and Qijun, 1996; Zongduo and Solonmen, 1999) of the linear orthomorphisms over the finite field $\mathrm{F}_{2}{ }^{\mathrm{n}}$ have been obtained, but they are very complex. In addition, the counting formula and generation algorithm of the nonlinear orthomorphisms have not been studied well. In order to explore orthomorphism more in cryptography applications, as well as to further study the mathematical properties and mathematical applications of them, this study have proceed the more extensive research of orthomorphisms over the ring instead of the finite field, because the nature of orthomorphisms over the ring is meet and it also must satisfy over the field. For simplicity, we have studied only the linear orthomorphisms over the ring $Z_{n}$, as the nonlinear orthomorphisms over $\mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{n}}$ will be researched later on.

[^0]In the design of modern cryptography, the linear orthomorphisms have been designed in the P permutation (Haiqing and Huanguo, 2010). Preplacement the cryptography indicator to Measure the P-permutation is branch number. So the linear orthomorphisms with the largest branch number have been choose to design the cipher parts and the linear orthomorphisms over the ring $Z_{n}$ is exactly the rich resources to design the P -permutations. We have studied the enumeration and construction problems of the linear orthomorphisms and the orthomorphic matrices over $\mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{n}}$ in this study.

## PRELIMINARIES

Let $_{Z_{n}}=\{\overline{0}, \overline{1}, \cdots, \overline{n-1}\}$ be the residue class ring of integers modulo n , where $\bar{i}$ represents the class of all integers that the remainder is $i$ divided by n , Consider the following permutation.

Definition 1: Let $\sigma: Z_{n} \rightarrow Z_{n}$ be the permutation on $Z_{n}$, $\sigma$ is called the orthomorphism on $Z_{n}$, if $\sigma+I$ (I is a unit transformation) is the permutation on $\mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{n}}$ too. $\sigma$ is called the Omnidirectional permutation, if $\sigma-I$ is also the permutation on $Z_{n}$.

For the every integer $1(1<n), \sigma$ is called the $1-$ generalized orthomorphism, if $\sigma+I I$ are all the permutations on $\mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{n}} . \forall x, y \in Z_{n}$, if $\sigma(\mathrm{x}+\mathrm{y})=\sigma(\mathrm{x})+$ $\sigma(\mathrm{y})$ then $\sigma$ is said as the linear orthomorphism.

Let F be the finite field, the polynomial $f \in F[X]$
So $\forall c \in F, f(c) \in F$.
The Polynomial $f \in F[X]$ is a transformation on $F$. If $\mathrm{f}(\mathrm{X})$ is one to one transformation, then it is said a permutation on $F$. It is easy to the following facts: that $\mathrm{f}(\mathrm{X})$ is a permutation on Fif and only if $f: c \mapsto f(c)$ is injective on $F$, if and only if $f$ is a subjective on $F$, if and only if $\forall a \in F$ the equation $\mathrm{f}(\mathrm{X})=$ a has the solution, if and only if $\forall a \in F$ the equation $\mathrm{f}(\mathrm{X})=$ a has a unique solution.

Definition 2: Let $f \in F[X]$ and $\mathrm{f}(\mathrm{X})=\mathrm{a}_{0}+\mathrm{a}_{1} \mathrm{X}+\ldots+$ $a_{n} X^{n}$, if $a_{n} \neq 0$, then $f(X)=$ is said the polynomial of the degree $n$. Denote $\operatorname{deg}(f(X))=n$.

By the Lagrange interpolation formula, and a permutation on F can be expressed into the polynomial of the degree not more then $(|F|-1)$. Where $|F|$ is the cardinality of the finite field $F$.

Definition 3: Let A be a matrix on $\mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{n}}$, that is the entries of A come from $\mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{n}}$, the determinant of A is defined as usual, so the value of the determinant of $A$ is an element in $Z_{n}$. If this element is invertible about the multiplication (or the addition generator), then it is said by invertible. The matrix A is said the orthomorphic
matrix on the ring $\mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{n}}$, if the matrices A and $\mathrm{A}+\mathrm{I}$ are all invertible.

By the definition 2, the matrix $A$ on $Z_{n}$ is the orthomorphic matrix if and only if $\operatorname{det} \mathrm{A}$ and $\operatorname{det}(\mathrm{A}+\mathrm{I})$ are the invertible element (or generators) in $Z_{n}$, if and only if $\operatorname{gcd}(\operatorname{det} A, n)=1$ and $\operatorname{gcd}(\operatorname{det} A, n)=1$ set up at the same time by the definition of the invertible element in $Z_{n}$.

The linear orthomorphism and the orthomorphic matrix are one to one over the finite field (Yun and Hongwei, 2002). But the linear orthomorphism and the orthomorphic matrix are not satisfied the one-one corresponding over the ring $\mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{n}}$. And it is also difficult to express the orthomorphism into the polynomial over the ring $Z_{n}$. The evidence shows that the research method of orthomorphisms over the finite field cannot be used to study the orthomorphisms over the ring $Z_{n}$.

In order to understand the algebraic structure properties of the ring, the isomorphism theorem of the ring $\mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{n}}$ is firstly given.

Lemma 1: Let $n$ be the order of the ring $Z_{n}$, the standard decomposition is $\mathrm{n}=\mathrm{p}^{\mathrm{rl}}{ }_{1} \mathrm{p}^{\mathrm{r} 2}{ }_{2} \ldots \mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{s}}{ }^{\mathrm{rs}}$, where $\mathrm{p}_{1}$, $\mathrm{p}_{2}, \ldots, \mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{s}} \mathrm{p}_{1}$, are the distinct prime numbers, denote $\mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{i}}=\mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{i}}^{\mathrm{ri}}(1 \leq \mathrm{i} \leq \mathrm{s})$, then there is the ring isomorphism: $Z_{n} \cong Z_{m_{1}} \oplus Z_{m_{2}} \oplus \cdots \oplus Z_{m_{s}}$.

This is the famous Chinese Remainder Theorem, we need not give the proof because of it can be found in many textbooks. In Shuwang et al. (2008), it has pointed out there is the one to one corresponding relationship between the orthomorphism and the Omni directional permutation over the ring $Z_{n}$, as long as an orthomorphism is constructed, it is easy to get an Omni directional permutation over the ring $\mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{n}}$, so they are uniform to study for simplicity. We have only studied the linear orthomorphism on the ring $\mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{n}}$ (that is equivalent to study the linear Omni directional permutation on the ring $Z_{n}$ ). From the algebra, the ring $\mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{n}}$ is a cyclic group on its addition, the isomorphism number of the cyclic group is determined by its generators. And $\forall \bar{a} \in Z_{n}, \bar{a}$ is an additive generator if and only if $\operatorname{gcd}(a, n)=1$, where $a$ is one representative of the remaining class $\bar{a}$. It is easy that the number of the additive generators in the ring $\mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{n}}$ is $\varphi(\mathrm{n})$ ( $\varphi$ is the Euler function).

## THE MAIN CONCLUSIONS

With this basic knowledge, we can get some conclusions on the linear orthomorphisms over the ring $\mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{n}}$.

Theorem 1: Let $\sigma$ be a linear orthomorphism over the ring $Z_{n}$, if and only if $\sigma$ and $(\sigma+I)$ are the automorphism of the additive group $\mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{n}}$.

Proof: $\sigma$ is a linear orthomorphism over the ring $Z_{n}$, if and only if $\sigma$ and $(\sigma+\mathrm{I})$ are the linear permutations over the ring $\mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{n}}$. As $\mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{n}}$ is an additive group, $\forall x, y \in \mathrm{Z}_{n}$, it is satisfied $\sigma(x+y)=\sigma(x)+\sigma(y)$. Hence, $\sigma$ is the group orthomorphisms on $Z_{n}$. Similarly, $(\sigma+I)$ are also the group orthomorphisms.

The linear orthomorphisms over the ring $Z_{n}$ are linked into the group orthomorphisms by theorem 1. Here is the decomposition property of the ring auto morphisms.

Lemma 2 (Yun and Hongwei, 2002): Let $Z_{n} \cong Z_{m_{1}} \oplus Z_{m_{2}} \oplus \cdots \oplus Z_{m_{s}}$, where $\mathrm{n}=\mathrm{p}^{\mathrm{r} 1}{ }_{1} \mathrm{p}^{\mathrm{r} 2}{ }_{2} \ldots \mathrm{p}^{\mathrm{rs}}{ }_{\mathrm{s}}$ and $m_{i}=p_{i}^{r i}(1 \leq i \leq s)$, then $\sigma$ is the orthomorphisms of the additive group if and only if $\sigma \mid Z_{\mathrm{mi}}$ is the orthomorphisms of $\mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{mi}}(1 \leq \mathrm{i} \leq \mathrm{s})$. Where $\left.\sigma\right|_{\mathrm{Zmi}}$ is indicated that the automorphisms $\sigma$ on $\mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{n}}$ is restricted on $\mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{mi}}$.

Lemma 2 demonstrates that if the automorphism $\sigma$ $\mid Z_{\mathrm{mi}}=\sigma_{\mathrm{i}}$ on $\mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{mi}}(1 \leq \mathrm{i} \leq \mathrm{s})$ are found, then the group automorphism $\sigma=\left(\sigma_{1}, \sigma_{2}, \ldots, \sigma_{\mathrm{s}}\right)$ on $\mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{n}}$ satisfied $\sigma \mid \mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{mi}}=\sigma$ on $\mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{mi}}(1 \leq \mathrm{i} \leq \mathrm{s})$ can be determined. The linear orthomorphism over the ring $Z_{n}$ can be transformed the group automorphism on $Z_{n}$, and the group automorphism $\sigma=\left(\sigma_{1}, \sigma_{2}, \ldots, \sigma_{\mathrm{s}}\right)$ on $\mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{n}}$ can be transformed the group automorphism on $\mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{mi}}(1 \leq \mathrm{i} \leq \mathrm{s})$. Thus the whole question is to find the additive group automorphism on $\mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{mi}}$ (where $\mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{i}}=\mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{i}}^{\text {ri }}$ is a prime power). But, the additive group automorphism on $\mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{mi}}$ is determined entirely by the additive generator. $\forall x, y \in Z_{m_{i}}$, they are any two additive generators on $\mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{mi}}$, then $\sigma(x)=y$ determines an additive group automorphism $\sigma$ on $\mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{mi}}$. The number of generators in $Z_{\mathrm{mi}}$ is $\varphi\left(\mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{i}}\right)$, where $\varphi\left(\mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{i}}\right)$ is the Euler function, which the number of the elements are coprime to $\mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{i}}$ in the set $\left\{0,1, \ldots, \mathrm{~m}_{\mathrm{i}}-1\right\}$.

Theorem 2: The number of the linear orthomorphisms over the ring $Z_{p}{ }^{r}$ ( $p$ is prime) is:

$$
\varphi\left(p^{r}\right) \phi\left(p^{r}\right)=p^{r-1}(p-1) p^{r-1}(p-2)
$$

Proof: From the previous analysis, $\sigma$ is the linear orthomorphisms over the ring $Z_{p r}$ if and only if $\sigma$ and ( $\sigma$ + I) are both the additive group orthomorphisms over the ring $Z_{p}{ }^{\mathrm{r}}$, if and only if $\sigma$ and $(\sigma+\mathrm{I})$ become the generators into the generators. Note $\bar{a}, \bar{b}$ are in the ring $\mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{p}}{ }^{\mathrm{r}}$, then $\bar{a}, \bar{b}$ are the residue class, $a, b$ are respectively representative element in $\bar{a}, \bar{b} \cdot \bar{a}, \bar{b}$ are the generators if and only if $\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{b}$ are prime to n . Without loss of generality, suppose $\sigma(\bar{a})=\bar{b}$, then $(\sigma+I)(\bar{a})=\bar{b}+\bar{a}$. The number of the linear orthomorphisms are completely determined by the pairs $\{\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{b}\}\left(0 \leq \mathrm{a}, \mathrm{b} \leq \mathrm{p}^{\mathrm{r}}-\right.$ $1)$, they satisfied:

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{c}
\operatorname{gcd}\left(a, p^{r}\right)=1 \\
\operatorname{gcd}\left(b, p^{r}\right)=1 \\
\operatorname{gcd}\left(a+b, p^{r}\right)=1 \tag{3}
\end{array}\right.
$$

a and b are respectively expressed into p -hexadecimal numbers:

$$
a=a_{0}+a_{1} p+\cdots+a_{r-1} p^{r-1}
$$

where,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 0 \leq a_{i} \leq p-1(0 \leq i \leq r-1) \\
& b=b_{0}+b_{1} p+\cdots+b_{r-1} p^{r-1}
\end{aligned}
$$

where,

$$
0 \leq b_{i} \leq p-1(0 \leq i \leq r-1)
$$

If the Eq. (1) is establishment, then $\mathrm{a}_{0} \neq 0$; the Eq. (2) is establishment, then $\mathrm{b}_{0} \neq 0$; the Eq. (3) is establishment, then $\mathrm{a}_{0}+\mathrm{b}_{0} \neq \mathrm{p}$. And the other $\mathrm{a}_{\mathrm{i}}, \mathrm{b}_{\mathrm{i}}$ are only satisfied $0 \leq \mathrm{a}_{\mathrm{i}} \leq \mathrm{p}-1(1 \leq \mathrm{i} \leq \mathrm{r}-1)$ and $0 \leq \mathrm{a}_{\mathrm{i}} \leq \mathrm{p}-1(1 \leq \mathrm{i} \leq$ $r-1$ ). $a$ is entirely decision by $\left\{a_{0}, a_{1}, \ldots, a_{r-1}\right\}$, there are $(p-1)$ choices to $a_{0}$ and there are $p$ choices to each $a_{1}, a_{2}, \ldots, a_{r-1}$. There are $\varphi\left(p^{r}\right)$ selection methods to $a$, namely $\varphi\left(p^{r}\right)=p^{r-1}(p-1) ; b$ is also completely determined by $\left\{b_{0}, b_{1}, \ldots, b^{r-1}\right\}$. However, when $a$ is selected well, there are $(p-2)$ choices to $b_{0}$ and there are $p$ choices to each $b_{1}, b_{2}, \ldots, b_{r-1}$. So there are $\phi\left(p^{r}\right)$ selection methods to $b$, that is $\phi\left(p^{r}\right)=p^{r-1}(p-2)$. The linear orthomorphism $\sigma$ is determined by a pair of numbers $\{\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{b}\}$ with $\sigma(\bar{a})=\bar{b}$.

In summary, the number of the linear orthomorphisms over the ring $\mathrm{Zp}^{\mathrm{r}}$ is:

$$
\varphi\left(p^{r}\right) \phi\left(p^{r}\right)=p^{r-1}(p-1) p^{r-1}(p-2)
$$

It is easy to get the counting formula of the linear orthomorphisms over the ring $Z_{n}$ Combined with Theorem 1 and 2.

Theorem 3: Let $Z_{n}$ be the ring met $n=p^{r 1}{ }_{1} p^{r 2}{ }_{2} \ldots p^{\mathrm{rs}}$, the number of the linear orthomorphisms $Z_{n}$ is:

$$
\prod_{i=1}^{s} \varphi\left(p_{i}^{r_{i}}\right) \phi\left(p_{i}^{r_{i}}\right)=\prod_{i=1}^{s}\left[p_{i}^{r_{i}-1}\left(p_{i}-1\right) p_{i}^{r_{i}-1}\left(p_{i}-2\right)\right]
$$

Proof: Let $Z_{n} \cong Z_{m_{1}} \oplus Z_{m_{2}} \oplus \cdots \oplus Z_{m_{s}}$, where $m_{i}=p^{\text {rii }}{ }_{i}$ $(1 \leq i \leq s)$. By Lemma 2, the linear orthomorphism over the ring $\mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{n}}$ is entirely determined by the set of the linear orthomorphisms $\left\{\sigma \mid \mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{mi}}\right\}$ over $\left\{\mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{mi}}(1 \leq \mathrm{i} \leq \mathrm{s})\right\}$. The linear orthomorphism over $\mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{n}}$ can be formed, as long as the
linear orthomorphisms are constructed over each $Z_{m i}$ $(1 \leq \mathrm{i} \leq \mathrm{s})$. That is $\sigma=\left(\sigma_{1}, \sigma_{2}, \ldots, \sigma_{\mathrm{s}}\right)$, where $\sigma \mid Z_{\mathrm{mi}}=\sigma_{\mathrm{i}}$. By the Multiplication combination principle, the number of the linear orthomorphisms $Z_{n}$ is:

$$
\prod_{i=1}^{s} \varphi\left(p_{i}^{r_{i}}\right) \phi\left(p_{i}^{r_{i}}\right)=\prod_{i=1}^{s}\left[p_{i}^{r_{i}-1}\left(p_{i}-1\right) p_{i}^{r_{i}-1}\left(p_{i}-2\right)\right]
$$

With above results, it is basically clear to the construction and enumeration of the linear orthomorphisms over the ring $Z_{n}$, which is the linear Omni directional permutation over the ring $Z_{n}$ is all clear. But sometimes it needs to study the orthomorphic matrix over the ring. By the preceding discussion, the linear orthomorphism and the orthomorphic matrix are not satisfied the one-one corresponding over the ring $Z_{n}$. It must be researched.

Let $\mathrm{A}=\left(\mathrm{a}_{\mathrm{ij}}\right)_{\mathrm{k} \times \mathrm{k}}$ be the $\mathrm{k} \times \mathrm{k}$ matrix on $\mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{n}}$, that is $a_{i j} \in Z_{n}$. By the definition of the determinant:

$$
\operatorname{det} A=\sum_{i_{1} i_{2} \cdots i_{k}}(-1)^{\tau\left(i_{1} i_{2} \cdots i_{k}\right)} a_{i_{1} 1} a_{i_{2}} \cdots a_{i_{k} k}
$$

where the sum is the all arrangement $\left(\mathrm{i}_{1} \mathrm{i}_{2} \ldots \mathrm{i}_{\mathrm{k}}\right)$ of 1,2 , $\ldots$ k. $\tau\left(i_{1} i_{2} \ldots i_{k}\right)$ represents the inverse ordered number of the arrangement $\left(i_{1} i_{2} \ldots i_{k}\right)$. By definition, if $\mathrm{A}=\left(\mathrm{a}_{\mathrm{ij}}\right)_{\mathrm{k} \times \mathrm{k}}$ is the matrix on the ring $Z_{\mathrm{n}}$, then $\operatorname{det} A \in Z_{n}$.

Lemma 3: Shengyuan (1999), Let $A=\left(\mathrm{a}_{\mathrm{ij}}\right)_{\mathrm{k} \times \mathrm{k}}$ be an invertible matrix on the ring $Z_{n}$, if and only if $\operatorname{det} A$ is the multiplication invertible element in $Z_{n}$. Let $Z_{n} \cong Z_{m_{1}} \oplus Z_{m_{2}} \oplus \cdots \oplus Z_{m_{s}}$ and $\mathrm{A}=\left(\mathrm{a}_{\mathrm{ij}}\right)_{\mathrm{k} \times \mathrm{k}} \quad$ is an invertible matrix on the ring $Z_{n}$, after the every element in the residue class $\mathrm{a}_{\mathrm{ij}}$ is modulo $\mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{t}}, \mathrm{A}=\left(\mathrm{a}_{\mathrm{ij}}\right)_{\mathrm{k} \times \mathrm{k}}$ can regard as a matrix on $Z_{m t}$, then $A$ is invertible matrix on the ring $\mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{mt}}$. On the contrary, find an invertible matrix $A_{t}=\left(a^{(t)}{ }_{i j}\right)_{k \times k}$ on the each ring $Z_{m t}(1 \leq t \leq s)$, through the isomorphism $f: Z_{n} \rightarrow Z_{m_{1}} \oplus Z_{m_{2}} \oplus \cdots \oplus Z_{m_{s}}$, we have obtained the matrix $A=\left(\mathrm{a}_{\mathrm{ij}}\right)_{\mathrm{k} \times \mathrm{k}}$, satisfied $a i j=f\left(a^{(1)}{ }_{i j}, a^{(2)}{ }_{i j}, \ldots, a^{(s)}{ }_{i j}\right)$, then $A=\left(a_{i j}\right)_{k \times k}$ is invertible on the ring $\mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{n}}$. Then the invertible matrix on the ring $\mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{n}}$ can be converted into the invertible matrix on the ring $Z_{p}{ }^{r}$ ( $p$ is prime). A matrix $A=\left(a_{i j}\right)_{k \times k}$ on the ring $Z_{n}$ is invertible if and only if $\operatorname{gcd}\left(\operatorname{det} A, p^{r}\right)=1$, so $\operatorname{gcd}(\operatorname{det}$ $\mathrm{A}, \mathrm{p})=1$, which is indicated that the matrix $\mathrm{A}=\left(\mathrm{a}_{\mathrm{ij}}\right)_{\mathrm{k} \times \mathrm{k}}$ is invertible regarded on the ring $Z_{p}$. While the ring $Z_{p}$ is a finite field, the number of the invertible matrices with the order k is $\prod_{i=0}^{k-1}\left(p^{k}-p^{i}\right)$ on the finite field $\mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{p}}$. Further, any invertible matrix with the order k on the ring $Z_{p}$ can be extended into the invertible matrix on the ring $\mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{p}}{ }^{\mathrm{r}}$, only let $A^{\prime}=\left(a_{i j}+l p\right)_{k \times k}, 0 \leq l \leq p^{r-1}-1$. Then an invertible matrix with the order $k$ on the ring $Z_{p}$ can be extended into $\mathrm{p}^{\mathrm{k} 2(\mathrm{r}-1)}$ invertible matrices on the ring $\mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{pr}}$. So the number of the invertible matrices with the order k on the ring $Z_{p^{r}}$ is $\prod_{i=0}^{k-1}\left(p^{k}-p^{i}\right) p^{k^{2}(r-1)}$. With a similar
method, we have determined the number of the orthomorphic matrix with the order k on the ring $\mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{pr}}$.

Theorem 4: The number of the orthomorphic matrices with the order $k$ on the ring $Z_{p r}$ is $T_{k}(p)(k \geq 2)$, then:

$$
T_{k}(p)=\left(\sum_{l=2}^{k} \prod_{i=1}^{l-1}\left(p^{k}-p^{i}\right) p^{k(k-l)+l-2} L_{k-l}(p)\right) p^{k^{2}(r-1)}
$$

where, $\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{k}-1}(\mathrm{p})=$ the number of the matrices with the order ( $k-I$ ) on the ring $Z_{p}$ and they have no the Eigen values 0 and 1 . Regulate: $\mathrm{L}_{0}(\mathrm{p})=1$ and $\mathrm{L}_{1}(\mathrm{p})=\mathrm{p}-1$.

Proof: The orthomorphic matrices with the order k on the ring $Z_{p r}$ can be extended from orthomorphic matrices on the ring $Z_{p}$. The matrix $A=\left(a_{i j}\right)_{k \times k}$, on the ring $\mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{pr}}$ is the orthomorphic matrices with the order k if and only if A, A + I are invertible, A, A + I can be entirely expanded from invertible matrices on the ring $Z_{p}$. Hence, it only needs to find out invertible matrix $A$ with the order $k$ on the ring $Z_{p}$ satisfied that $(A+I)$ is invertible; it can be extended to invertible matrix $A$ with the order k on the ring $\mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{pr}}$.

Imitate the proof method in Shengyuan (1999), it is easy to get the number of the matrices with the order (kI) on the ring $\mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{p}}$ and they have no the eigenvalues 0 and 1 , that is:

$$
\sum_{l=2}^{k} \prod_{i=1}^{l-1}\left(p^{k}-p^{i}\right) p^{k(k-l)+l-2} L_{k-l}(p)
$$

where, $\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{k}-\mathrm{I}}(\mathrm{p})$ is the number of the matrices with the order ( $k$ - I on the ring $Z_{p}$ and they have no the eigenvalues 0 and 1 . Then they can be extended to the ring $\mathrm{Zp}^{\mathrm{r}}$, the number of the orthomorphic matrices with the order k on the ring $\mathrm{Zp}^{\mathrm{r}}$ is:

$$
T_{k}(p)=\left(\sum_{l=2}^{k} \prod_{i=1}^{l-1}\left(p^{k}-p^{i}\right) p^{k(k-l)+l-2} L_{k-l}(p)\right) p^{k^{2}(r-1)}
$$

The proof is end.
Next, we give the counting formula of the orthomorphic matrices with the order $k$ on the ring $Z_{n}$.

Theorem 5: Let $Z_{n} \cong Z_{m_{1}} \oplus Z_{m_{2}} \oplus \cdots \oplus Z_{m_{s}} \quad$ and $n=p_{1}^{r_{1}} p_{2}^{r_{2}} \cdots p_{s}^{r_{s}}, m_{i}=p_{i}^{r_{i}}(1 \leq i \leq s)$, then the counting formula of the orthomorphic matrices with the order k on the $\operatorname{ring} \mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{n}}$ is:

$$
T_{k}(n)=\prod_{j=1}^{s}\left[p_{j}^{k^{2}(r-1)}\left(\sum_{l=2}^{k} \prod_{i=1}^{l-1}\left(p_{j}^{k}-p_{j}^{i}\right) p_{j}^{k(k-l)+l-2} L_{k-l}\left(p_{j}\right)\right)\right]
$$

where, $\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{k}-1}\left(\mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{j}}\right)(1 \leq \mathrm{j} \leq \mathrm{s})$ is the number of the matrices with the order $(\mathrm{k}-\mathrm{l})$ on the ring $\mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{pj}}$ and they have no
the Eigen values 0 and 1. Regulate: $\mathrm{L}_{0}\left(\mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{j}}\right)=1$ and $\mathrm{L}_{1}\left(\mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{j}}\right)=\mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{j}}-1$.

The proof of theorem 5 is mainly that the orthomorphic matrices on the ring $\mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{n}}$ are transformed onto the ring $\mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{p} \text {. }}$. Then the orthomorphic matrices on all the rings $\left\{Z_{\mathrm{pj}} \mid \quad 1 \leq \mathrm{j} \leq \mathrm{s}\right\}$ are isomorphism into the orthomorphic matrix on the ring $Z_{n}$, which is the result of the theorem. For simplicity, we have not proved this theorem.

## CONCLUSION

We have mainly studied the linear orthomorphisms and the orthomorphic matrices on the ring $Z_{n}$ in this study and given the counting formula. Because there exist the zero factors in the ring $\mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{n}}$, the linear orthomorphisms and the orthomorphic matrices are not satisfied the one-one corresponding relationship over the ring $Z_{n}$. In cryptography, the linear orthomorphisms have mainly been designed the P-permutation. The important cryptography quality indicator to measure P permutation is the branch number (Haiqing and Huanguo, 2010), it is still an important issue to research the linear orthomorphisms and the orthomorphic matrices on the ring $\mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{n}}$. In addition, the nonlinear orthomorphisms have mainly been designed the S-box, which is the core of the cipher security.
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