Research Journal of Applied Sciences, Engineering and Technology 6(17): 3161-3164, 2013 DOI:10.19026/rjaset.6.3618 ISSN: 2040-7459; e-ISSN: 2040-7467 © 2013 Maxwell Scientific Publication Corp. Submitted: January 14, 2013 Accepted: March 02, 2013

Published: September 20, 2013

# **Research Article**

# A Characterization of Sporadic Janko Group J<sub>1</sub>

Degin Chen and Shitian Liu

School of Science, Sichuan University of Science and Engineering, Zigong Sichuan, 643000, China

Abstract: Let G be a group and  $\omega(G)$  be the set of element orders of G. Let ke  $\omega(G)$  and sk be the number of elements of order k in G. Let nse (G) = {s<sub>k</sub> | k  $\omega$ (G) }. In Khatami *et al.* (2011) and Liu (2012c) the authors proved that  $L_3(2)$  and  $L_3(4)$  are unique determined by nse (G). In this study, we prove that if G is a group such that nse (G) = nse  $(J_1)$ , then G  $\cong$   $J_1$ .

Keywords: Element order, Janko group, Number of elements of the same order, Thompson' problem

## **INTRODUCTION**

If n is an integer, then we denote by  $\pi(n)$  the set of all prime divisors of n. Let G be a group. The set of element orders of G is denoted by  $\omega(G)$ . Let  $k \in \omega(G)$ and  $S_k$  be the number of elements of order k in G. Let nse (G) = {S<sub>k</sub> |  $k \in \omega(G)$ }. Let  $\pi(G)$  denote the set of prime p such that G contains an element of order p. A finite group G is called a simple Kn -group, if G is a simple group with  $|\pi(G)| = n$ . Thompson posed a very interesting problem related to algebraic number fields as follows Shi (1989).

**Thomson' problem:** Let  $T(G) = \{(n, s \mid n \in \omega(G) \text{ and }$  $S_n \in nse(G)$ , where  $S_n$  is the number of elements with order n. Suppose that T(G) = T (H). If G is a finite solvable group, is it true that H is also necessarily solvable?

It was proved that: Let G be a group and M some simple  $K_i$ -group, i = 3, 4, then  $G \cong M$  if and only if |G| = |M| and nse (G) = nse (M) (Shao *et al.*, 2009, 2008). And also the groups  $A_{12}$ ,  $A_{13}$  and  $L_5$  (2) are characterizable by order and nse (Guo et al., 2012; Liu, 2012a; 2012b), respectively). Recently, all sporadic simple groups are characterizable by nse and order (Khalili et al., 2013)).

Comparing the sizes of elements of same order but disregarding the actual orders of elements in T (G) of the Thompson Problem, in other words, it remains only nse (G), whether can it characterize finite simple groups? Up to now, some groups especial for PSL (2,q), can be characterized by only the set nse (G) (Khalili et al., 2011; Shen et al., 2010), respectively).

The author has proved that the groups  $L_3(4)$  and  $L_2(16)$  are characterizable by nse (Liu, 2012b, 2013) respectively). In this study, it is shown that the group  $J_1$ , which the number of the set of the same order is 10, also can be characterized by nse  $(J_1)$ , that is.

#### MAIN THEOREM

Let G be a group with nse  $(G) = nse (J_1)$ .

Then  $G \cong J_1$ . In the proof of the Main Theorem, we use the technique of Khalili et al. (2003) to make the proof simple. Namely, we investigate the influence of the primes 7, 19 on the set {2, 3, 5, 7, 11, 19} and the order of elements of the group. Hence we only consider the sets  $\{2\}$ ,  $\{2, 3\}$ ,  $\{2, 5\}$ ,  $\{2, 11\}$ ,  $\{2, 3, 5\}$ ,  $\{3, 5\}$ , 11}, {2, 3, 5, 11} and  $\pi$  (G) = {2, 3, 5, 7, 11, 19}. Finally, we get the desired result by order consideration.

### Some lemmas:

Lemma 1: Frobenius (1895) Let G be a finite group and m be a positive integer dividing |G|. If  $L_m(G) = \{g \in G \mid g^m = 1\}, \text{ then } m \parallel L_m(G) \parallel$ 

Lemma 2: Miller (1904) Let G be a finite group and  $p \in \pi(G)$  be odd. Suppose that P is a Sylow psubgroup of G and  $n = p^5 m$  with (p,m) = 1. If P is not cyclic and s>1, then the number of elements of order n is always a multiple of P<sup>s</sup>.

Lemma 3: Shen (2010) Let G be a group containing more than two elements. If the maximal numbers of elements of the same order in G is finite, then G is finite and  $|G| \le s(s^2 - 1)$ 

Lemma 4: Hall (1959) [Theorem 9.3.1]) Let G be a finite solvable group and |G| = mn, where  $m = p_1^{\alpha_1} \cdots p_r^{\alpha_r}$ , (m,n) = 1. Let  $\pi = \{p_1, \cdots, p_r\}$  and  $h_m$ 

Corresponding Author: Deqin Chen, School of Science, Sichuan University of Science and Engineering, Zigong Sichuan, 643000, China

This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License (URL: http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).

be the number of Hall  $\pi$  -subgroups of G. Then  $h_m = q_1^{\beta_1} \cdots q_s^{\beta_s}$  satisfies the following conditions for all  $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, s\}$ :

- $q_i^{\beta_i} \equiv 1 \pmod{p_i}$  for some  $P_j$
- The order of some chief factor of G is divided by *q*<sub>i</sub><sup>β<sub>i</sub></sup>

### **PROOF OF THEOREM**

Let G be a group such that nse (G) = nse (J<sub>1</sub>) and s<sub>n</sub> be the number of elements of order n. By Lemma 3 we have G is finite. We note that  $s_n = k\phi(n)$ , where k is the number of cyclic subgroups of order n. Also we note that if n>2, then  $\phi(n)$  is even. If  $m \in \omega(G)$ , then by Lemma 1 and the above discussion, we have:

$$\begin{cases} \phi(m) \mid s_m \\ m \mid \sum_{d \mid m} s_d \end{cases}$$
(1)

We rewrite the main theorem here to read easily.

**Theorem:** Let G be a group with nse (G) = nse  $(J_1) = \{1, 1463, 5852, 11704, 15960, 23408, 25080, 27720, 29260, 35112\}.$  Then G  $\cong$  J<sub>1</sub>.

**Proof:** We prove the theorem by first proving that  $\pi(G) \subseteq \{2, 3, 5, 7, 11, 19\}$ , second showing that  $|G| = |J_1|$  and so  $G \cong J_1$ 

By (1.1),  $\pi(G) \subseteq \{2, 3, 5, 7, 11, 13, 19, 29\}$ . If m>2, then  $\phi$  (m) is even, then  $s_2 = 1463, 2 \in \pi(G)$ . In the following, we prove that  $13, 29 \notin \pi(G)$ .

If  $13 \in \omega(G)$ , then by (1.1),  $s_{13} = 35112$ . If  $2 \cdot 13 \in \omega(G)$ , then  $s_{26} \in$  nse (G). Therefore  $2 \cdot 13 \notin \omega(G)$ . Now we consider Sylow 13-subgroup  $P_{13}$  acts fixed point freely on the set of elements of order 2, then  $|P_{13}||s_2$  (= 1463), a contradiction.

Similarly  $29 \notin \omega(G)$ . In fact, by (1.1),  $s_{29} = 29260$ .

If  $2 \cdot 29 \in \omega(G)$ , then  $s_{58} \notin$  nse (G). Therefore  $2 \cdot 29 \notin \omega(G)$ . Now we consider Sylow 29-subgroup  $P_{29}$  acts fixed point freely on the set of elements of order 2, then  $|P_{29}||s_2$  (= 1463), a contradiction.

Therefore,  $\pi(G) \subseteq \{2, 3, 5, 7, 11, 19\}$ . By (1.1), we have that S<sub>3</sub> = 5852 or 23408,  $s_5 = 11704$ ,  $s_7 = 25080$ , S<sub>11</sub> = 15960 and S<sub>19</sub> = 27720. If 3.19  $\epsilon \omega$  (G), then by (1.1) 57 | 1+ $s_3$  + $s_{19}$  + $s_{57}$ and  $\phi(57)$  |  $s_{57}$  and so we have  $s_{57} \notin$  nse (G), a contradiction. Hence 3.19  $\notin \omega$  (G).

If 5.19  $\in \omega$  (G), then by (1.1) 95|1+s\_5+s\_{19}+s\_{95} and  $\phi(95)|_{s_{95}}$  and so we have  $s_{95} \notin$  nse (G), a contradiction. Hence  $5 \cdot 19 \notin \omega(G)$ .

Similarly, we can prove that  $7 \cdot 19 \notin \omega(G)$  and  $11 \cdot 19 \notin \omega(G)$ 

If  $2^a \in \omega(G)$ , then  $\phi(2^a) | s_{2^a}$  and so  $0 \le a \le 5$ .

Similarly if  $3^a \in \omega(G)$ , then  $1 \le a \le 3$ ; if  $5^a \in \omega(G)$ , then  $1 \le a \le 2$ ; if  $11^a \in \omega(G)$ , then  $1 \le a \le 2$ .

If  $7^a \in \omega(G)$ , then  $1 \le a \le 2$ . If  $7^2 \in \omega(G)$ , then  $s_{7^2} \notin \text{nse}$  (G), therefore a = 1. Similarly, if  $19^a \in \omega(G)$ , then a = 1.

Therefore, we have that  $2^6, 3^4 \notin \omega(G)$ ;  $p \cdot 19 \notin \omega(G)$  with p = 2, 3, 5, 7, 11, 19;  $p^2 \notin \omega(G)$  with p = 7, 19;  $p^3 \notin \omega(G)$  with p = 5, 11.

If  $5 \in \pi(G)$ , then exp (P<sub>5</sub>) = 5 or 25.

If exp (P<sub>5</sub>) = 5, then  $|P_5||_{1+s_5}$  (= 11705) and so  $|P_5|=5$ . It follows that  $n_5 = s_5 / \phi(5) = 2 \cdot 7 \cdot 11 \cdot 19$  and so 7, 11,  $19 \in \pi(G)$ .

If exp (P<sub>5</sub>) = 25, then  $|P_5||1+s_5+s_{25}| = 39425$ ) and so  $|P_5| = 25$ ,  $n_5 = 2 \cdot 3^2 \cdot 7 \cdot 11$ 

If  $7 \in \pi(G)$ , then  $|P_7||_{1+s_7} (= 25081)$  and so  $|P_7||=7$ . So  $n_7 = 2^2 \cdot 5 \cdot 11 \cdot 19$  and so  $5, 11, 19 \in \pi(G)$ . If  $11 \in \pi(G)$ , then exp (P11) = 11, 121.

If exp (P<sub>11</sub>) = 11, then  $|P_{11}||1+s_{11}(= 11705)$  and so  $|P_{11}|=11$ . It follows that  $n_{11}=2^2\cdot 3\cdot 7\cdot 19$  and so 3, 7, 19  $\in \pi(G)$ .

If exp (P<sub>11</sub>) = 121, then  $|P_{11}|| + s_{11} + s_{121}$  (= 43681) and so  $|P_{11}| = 121$ . Hence  $n_{11} = 2^2 \cdot 3^2 \cdot 7$  and so 3,  $7 \in \pi(G)$ .

If  $19 \in \pi(G)$ , then as  $19^2 \notin \omega(G)$ , we have that  $|P_{19}||1 + s_{19} \quad (= 27721) \text{ and } |P_{19}|=19$ . Hence  $n_{19} = 2^2 \cdot 5 \cdot 7 \cdot 11$ . So 5, 7,  $11 \in \pi(G)$ .

Therefore we only consider that the set  $\pi(G)$  is {2}, {2, 3}, {2, 5}, {2, 11}, {2, 3, 5}, {2, 3, 11}, {2, 3, 5, 11} and  $\pi(G) = \{2, 3, 5, 7, 11, 19\}$ . So we divide the proofs into the following cases.

**Case a:**  $\pi(G) = \{2\}$ . In this case,  $\omega(G) \subseteq \{1, 2, 2^2, 2^3, 2^4, 2^5\}$ . But the number of the set of nse (G) is 10, so we get a contradiction.

**Case b:**  $\pi(G) = \{2,3\}$ . Since  $3^4 \in \omega(G)$ , then exp (P<sub>3</sub>) = 3, 9, 27. So we consider the following subcases.

First let  $S_3 = 5852$ .

- Subcase b.1.1: exp  $(P_3) = 3$ .  $|P_3||_{1+s_3}$  (= 5853) and so  $|P_3|=3$ . So  $n_3 = 2 \cdot 7 \cdot 11 \cdot 19$ . It follows that 7,  $11, 19 \in \pi(G)$ , a contradiction.
- Subcase b.1.2: exp  $(P_3) = 9$ .  $|P_3||1+s_3+s_9 (= 30933)$  and so  $|P_3| = 9$ . Whence  $n_3 = 2^2 \cdot 5 \cdot 11 \cdot 19$  and so 5, 11, 19  $\in \pi(G)$ , a contradiction.
- Subcase b.1.3: exp (P<sub>3</sub>) = 27. We know that
   |P<sub>3</sub>|≥ 3<sup>3</sup>. If |P<sub>3</sub>|= 3<sup>3</sup>, then we have a contradiction
   since s<sub>27</sub> ∈ nse (G).
   Second let S<sub>3</sub> = 23408.
- Subcase b.2.1: exp  $(P_3) = 3$ ,  $|P_3||1+s_3 = 23409$  and so  $|P_3||3^4$ .

If  $|P_3| = 3$ , then  $n_3 = 2^3 \cdot 5 \cdot 7 \cdot 11 \cdot 19$ . It follows that 5, 7, 11, 19  $\in \pi(G)$ , a contradiction. If  $|P_3| = 9$ , then |G|= 175560+5852k<sub>1</sub>+11704k<sub>2</sub>+15960k<sub>3</sub>+23408k<sub>4</sub>+ 25080k<sub>5</sub>+27720k<sub>6</sub>+29260k<sub>7</sub>+35112k<sub>8</sub> = 2<sup>*l*</sup>.3<sup>2</sup> with  $k_1, \dots, k_8$  and 1 are nonnegative integers and  $0 \le k_1 + \dots + k_8 \le 1$ . Since 175560  $\le |G| = 2^l \cdot 3^2 \le$ 175560+35112  $\cdot 1 \cdot 8$ , we have 1 = 15. Hence  $|G|=175560+5852 k_1+11704 k_2+15960 k_3+23408 k_4$ +25080  $k_5$ +27720  $k_6$ +29260  $k_7$ +35112  $k_8 = 2^{15} \cdot 3^2$ , but the equation has no solution in N. If  $|P_3|=3^3$  or  $|P_3|=3^4$ , then by Lemma 2,  $s_3 = |P_3|t$  for some integer t. But the equation has no solution in N.

- Subcase b.2.2: exp  $(P_3) = 9$ . We have that  $|P_3||1+s_3+s_9(=51129)$  and so  $|P_3|=9$ . Whence  $n_3 = 2^2 \cdot 3 \cdot 5 \cdot 7 \cdot 11$  and so 5, 7,  $11 \in \pi(G)$ , a contradiction.
- Subcase b.2.3: exp  $(P_3) = 27$ . We know that  $|P_3| \ge 3^3$ . If  $|P_3| = 3^3$ , then  $s_{27} = 27720$  and so  $n_3 = 2^2 \cdot 5 \cdot 7 \cdot 11$ . It follows that 5, 7,  $11 \in \pi(G)$ , a contradiction.

If  $|P_3| \ge 3^4$ , then by Lemma 2, we also get a contradiction.

**Case c:**  $\pi(G) = \{2, 5\}.$ 

If exp (P<sub>5</sub>}) = 5, then  $|P_5||1+s_5(=11705)$  and so  $|P_5|=5$ . Since  $n_5 = 2 \cdot 7 \cdot 11 \cdot 19$ , 7, 11,  $19 \in \pi(G)$ , a contradiction.

If exp  $(P_5) = 25$ , then  $|P_5||1+s_5+s_{25}(= 39425)$ and so  $|P_5|=25$ . Since  $n_5 = 2^2 \cdot 3^2 \cdot 7 \cdot 11$ , 3, 7,  $11 \in \pi(G)$ , a contradiction. **Case d:**  $\pi(G) = \{2, 11\}$ .

If exp  $(P_{11}) = 11$ , then  $|P_{11}|| 1 + s_{11} (= 15961)$  and so  $|P_{11}| = 11$ . So  $n_{11} = 2^2 \cdot 3 \cdot 7 \cdot 19$  and  $3, 7, 19 \in \pi(G)$ , a contradiction.

If exp (P<sub>11</sub>) = 121, then  $|P_{11}|| 1 + s_{11} + s_{121}$  ( = 43681) and so  $|P_{11}| = 121$ . So  $n_{11} = 2^2 \cdot 3^2 \cdot 7$  and 3, 7  $\in \pi(G)$ , a contradiction.

Case e:  $\pi(G) = \{2, 3, 5\}.$ 

The proof is the same as Case c.

**Case f:**  $\pi(G) = \{2, 3, 11\}.$ 

The proof is the same as Case d.

**Case g:**  $\pi(G) = \{2, 3, 5, 7, 11, 19\}.$ 

We know that  $|P_7| = 7$  and  $|P_{19}| = 19$ .

We first show that  $|P_5|=5$  and  $|P_{11}|=11$ .

If  $5.7 \in \omega(G)$ , set P and Q are Sylow 7-subgroups of G, then P and Q are conjugate in G and so  $C_G(P)$ and  $C_G(Q)$  are conjugate in G. Therefore we have that  $s_{35} = \phi(35) \cdot n_7 \cdot k$ , where k is the number of cyclic subgroups of order 5 in  $C_G(P_7)$ . As  $n_7 = s_7 / \phi(7) = 4180$ ,  $100320 | S_{35}$  and then  $s_{35} = 100320t$  for some integer t, but the equation has no solution in N, a contradiction. Hence  $5.7 \notin \omega(G)$ . It follows that the group  $P_5$  acts fixed point freely on the set of order 7 and so  $| P_5 || s_7 (=$ 25080). Hence  $| P_5 | = 5$ .

Similarly since  $11 \cdot 19 \notin \omega(G)$ , then we have that the groupP11 acts fixed point freely on the set of order 19 and so  $|P_{11}||s_{19}$  (= 27720). Hence  $|P_{11}|| = 11$ .

Since  $2 \cdot 19 \notin \omega(G)$ , the group  $P_2$  acts fixed point freely on the set of order 19 and so  $|P_2||s_{19} (= 27720)$ . Hence  $|P_2||2^3$ .

Similarly since  $3 \cdot 19 \notin \omega(G)$ , we also have  $|P_3| = 3$ . Therefore  $|G| = 2^m \cdot 3 \cdot 5 \cdot 7 \cdot 11 \cdot 19$ . But  $\sum_{s_k \in nse(G)} s_k$ = 175560 =  $2^3 \cdot 3 \cdot 5 \cdot 7 \cdot 11 \cdot 19 \le 2^m \cdot 3 \cdot 5 \cdot 7 \cdot 11 \cdot 19$ . Thus  $|G|=2^3 \cdot 3 \cdot 5 \cdot 7 \cdot 11 \cdot 19 = |J_1|$ . So since nse (G) = nse (J<sub>1</sub>), we have from (Khalili *et al.*, 2013), that  $G \cong J_1$ . This completes the proof.

## ACKNOWLEDGMENT

The object is supported by the Department of Education of Sichuan Province (Grant No: 12ZB085 and 12ZB291) and the NSF of SUSE (Grant No: 2010KY06). The author is very grateful for the helpful suggestions of the referee.

#### REFERENCES

- Frobenius, G., 1895. Verallgemeinerung des Sylowschen Satze. Berliner Sitz, pp: 981-993.
- Guo, S., S. Liu and W. Shi, 2012. A new characterization of alternating group  $A_{13}$ . Far East J. Math. Sci., 62(1): 15-28.
- Hall, M., 1959. The Theory of Groups. Macmilan, New York.
- Khalili, A.R., S.S. Salehi Amiri, A. Iranmanesh and A. Tehranian, 2013. A characterization of sporadic simple groups by NSE and order. J. Algebra Appl., 12(2), DOI: 10.1142/S0219498812501587.
- Khalili, A.R., S.S. Sadlehi Amiri and A. Iranmanesh, 2011. A Note on a Characterization of Matieu Groups.
- Khatami, M., B. Khosravi and Z. Akhlaghi, 2011. A new characterization for some linear groups. Monatsh Math., 163: 39-50.

- Liu, S., 2012a. A characterization of  $L_5(2)$ . Arch. Des Sci., 65(11): 42-48.
- Liu, S., 2012b. A characterization of  $L_2(16)$ . Wulfenia, 19(11): 291-298.
- Liu, S., 2013c. A characterization of  $L_3(4)$ . Sci. Asia.

Liu, S. and R. Zhang, 2012c. A new characterization of  $A_{12}$ . Math. Sci., DOI: 10.1186/2251-7456-6-30.

- Miller, G., 1904. Addition to a theorem due to frobenius. Bull. Amer. Math. Soc., 11(1): 6-7.
- Shao, C.G., W.J. Shi and Q.H. Jiang, 2009. A new characterization of simple  $K_3$ -groups. Adv. Math., 38: 327-330, (In Chinese).
- Shao, C.G., W.J. Shi and Q.H. Jiang, 2008. A new characterization of simple  $K_4$ -groups. Front. Math. China, 3(3): 355-370.
- Shen, R., C. Shao, Q. Jiang, W. Shi and V. Mazurov, 2010. A new characterization of  $A_5$ . Monatsh Math., 160: 337-341.
- Shi, W.J., 1989. A new characterization of the sporadic simple groups group theory. Proceeding of the Singapore Conference Walter de Gruyter, Berlin, New York, pp: 531-540.